

CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2, AND ARE CLOSED CAPTIONED FOR OUR HEARING IMPAIRED VIEWERS. THE COUNCIL MEETING, AS WELL AS ALL OTHER KCLV PROGRAMMING, CAN BE VIEWED AT www.kclv.tv. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 AND THE WEB THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM, AND ALSO ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – CHAPLAIN CHARLOTTE M. LEAS, BEREAVEMENT COORDINATOR FOR BUNKERS MORTUARIES
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN (excused from the p.m. session from 2:23 p.m. to 3:10 p.m. and for the rest of the p.m. session at 3:38 p.m.) and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD (excused from the p.m. session until 1:42 p.m.), BROWN (excused from the p.m. session until 1:42 p.m.), L.B. McDONALD (excused from the morning session until 9:13 a.m.), WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall
City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:06)

1-1

CHAPLAIN CHARLOTTE M. LEAS, Bereavement Coordinator for Bunkers Mortuaries, gave the invocation.

(9:06 – 9:09)

1-20

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Announcements – Continued

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.
(9:07 – 9:08)
1-109

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that this was a very special matter to him, because he nominated this month's Employee of the Month. He requested that SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services Department, join him to assist him in recognizing Senior Development Project Officer BILL J. ARENT, Neighborhood Development Division, as April's Employee of the Month for being an invaluable asset. He has coordinated efforts surrounding the very challenging area of homelessness. Through diligence and detailed work, MR. ARENT enabled the City of Las Vegas, via the Homeless Task Force of the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition, to make unprecedented progress in developing a valley-wide Strategic Homeless Solution. That plan is one of the first major steps in finding real answers to our homeless problems. MR. ARENT has demonstrated his strong work ethic and commitment as well as his value as a leader. His understanding of the complex issues of homelessness has made him an incredible representative of the city.

MS. SEGERBLOM stated that MR. ARENT is the perfect example of the many fine City employees. He is diligent, caring, and very ethical.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Ceremonial

Recognition of Employee of the Month

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. ARENT gave special thanks to MS. SEGERBLOM for her continued support, as well as FAYE JOHNSON, Manager, SUE PRESCOTT, Supervisor, and CLAUDETTE ENUS, Director, Human Resources. He also thanked his wife VANESSA for being present on this occasion.

He indicated that his work attitude can be summed up as a motto used on the letterhead of one of the local charities: “The Dignity Within.” He has always tried to keep this phrase in mind in his dealings with members of the public and every person he encounters at work.

MAYOR GOODMAN pointed out that MR. ARENT is a newlywed and asked his wife to come to the podium.

(9:10 – 9:14)

1-88

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

PRESENTATION RECOGNIZING RETIREE APPRECIATION DAY

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

A proclamation was mentioned but not submitted

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

With pride and honor, COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recognized Retiree Appreciation Day, an event that will be taking place at Nellis Air Force Base. EDWARD POWERS, retiree, came forward to help her make the presentation. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD was delighted that representatives of retirees from the following various branches of service were present: SR. CHIEF PATRICK FONTANUO – Navy; LT. COLONEL JOHN S PHILLIPS - U.S. Army; LT. COLONEL BETH McDUFFY – U.S. Air Force; and CHIEF WARRANT OFFICER ROBERT L. DALGREEN (who received five purple hearts throughout his career) - U.S. Marine Corps and U.S. Army. COLONEL BOB LEN, Vice Commander of the 99th Air Base Wing, Nellis Air Force Base, will be hosting the retiree event.

MR. PHILLIPS explained that the idea of a Retiree Day came about to make the public aware of the 25,000 retired military personnel in Clark County and also to honor the retirees. The event will include a big show of military equipment, such as aircraft and tanks. He hopes that with the continued support of agencies such as the City this event can be held every year.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD declared 4/17/2002 as Retiree Appreciation Day in the City of Las Vegas and thanked the representatives for their service to this country.

(9:20 – 9:25)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE USA HOCKEY WESTERN REGIONAL CHAMPIONS

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

This presentation was not made.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE LAS VEGAS MUSTANG HOCKEY TEAM

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

This presentation was not made.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF PUBLIC WORKS ENVIRONMENTAL DIVISION EMPLOYEES

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, and DAVID MENDENHALL, Manager, Environmental Division, joined COUNCILMAN BROWN to recognize DANIEL FISCHER, Laboratory Supervisor at the Water Pollution Control Facility, and ADRIAN EDWARDS, Supervisor of the Northwest Water Resource Center, for their outstanding accomplishments in making sure that the City's water supply is safe and meets all the federal standards. Many people take the water and what these gentlemen do for granted. MR. EDWARDS initiated a pilot program where the return flow water in the western part of the valley is captured, treated, and used on parks, open spaces, and golf courses; thereby, ultimately saving the local citizens a lot of money.

It is very important to recognize these gentlemen publicly. Also, MR. FISCHER was recently awarded the 2002 Laboratory Analyst Excellence Award by the Water Environment Federation, and MR. EDWARDS was recognized as the Wastewater Operator of the Year by the Nevada Water Environmental Association. COUNCILMAN BROWN congratulated both gentlemen.

MR. EDWARDS thanked MR. MENDENHALL and Operations and Maintenance Superintendent TERRY HUGHES for giving him the opportunity to be in charge of a great team of operators and mechanics. He also gave thanks to the staff at the Water Pollution Control Facility for their maintenance and supply support.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Ceremonial

Recognition of the Public Works Environmental Division Employees

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. FISCHER spoke about the mission of the Environmental Division, which is to clean wastewater and return it safely to the environment. It is great to work for an organization that is accomplishing its mission. There are a lot of very competent and capable people that work in the Environmental Division under the excellent leadership of MR. GOECKE and MR. MENDENHALL.

He thanked the staff at the City of Henderson for nominating him for the Laboratory Analyst Excellence Award, and the people at the Nevada Water Environment Association who passed on the nomination to the national organization. He also thanked the people who work with him at the laboratory.

MR. GOECKE stated that recognizing MR. FISCHER and MR. EDWARDS speaks to the COUNCIL'S contribution to environmental issues.

(9:14 – 9:20)

1-214

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF POOL SAFETY MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

A copy of the proclamation was not submitted for the record

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that pool safety is extremely important to the community. Each year many families suffer the loss of a child to drowning. These deaths are preventable by raising awareness of the dangers around a pool and the best way to avoid these tragic incidents. He called on Las Vegas Fire and Rescue Public Information Officer TIM SYMANSKI, Leisure Services Aquatics Field Supervisor MARY KILLION, Red Cross Chairman DENNIS MACREADY, and the Red Cross' TRISH WILLIAMSON to tell the audience about the efforts being made to make this community's pools safe.

MR. SYMANSKI mentioned that last year there were approximately 50 near-drowning incidents and eight fatalities. Already this year there have been eight near-drowning incidents and one fatality. Las Vegas Fire and Rescue, the Red Cross, and Leisure Services are joining together to raise awareness. A new pamphlet with a checklist will be put out in Spanish and English to spread the word on what to look for around their homes to help prevent drowning accidents. Posters will be posted on the fire and emergency vehicles. This poster is currently being used by Las Vegas Fire and Rescue, San Bernardino County, Los Angeles County, San Diego County, and Orange County so that they will be seen all along the I-15 corridor on fire and rescue vehicles reminding citizens that a child can drown in less than two minutes.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Ceremonial

Recognition of Pool Safety Month

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. MACREADY thanked the Council for the proclamation and gave special thanks to the Mayor for agreeing to serve as the honorary chair of the Pool Safety Campaign. He announced that this year the Campaign agency members were able to also team up with Paragon Pools, who is going to help get the message out to the citizens. Events will culminate on 5/18/2002 with a pool safety fair at the Palo Verde Pool in Summerlin. Among many other activities on that day, people will also be able to sign up for the Learn to Swim Program. He stressed that pool safety is a very important message that needs to get out.

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that pool safety is very important to him because when he was growing up in Philadelphia there was a community pool in an apartment building that he and many youngsters used to go to. The water started with a one-foot depth, yet one of the boys in the neighborhood drowned in that pool. The incident had such a tremendous impact on him and his friends, and he will never forget it. He feels honored to be able to serve as the Honorary Chairman.

(9:25 – 9:30)

1-565

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF "SAY NO TO DRUGS" POSTER CONTEST WINNERS

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that in an effort to spread the message and help youth understand that drug use can be very dangerous and devastating to the homes and the community, world-renowned pianist LINDA GENTILE hosted a wonderful concert for six of the local elementary schools in Ward 5. The theme of the concert was "Get High on Music and Not Drugs." A poster contest was held at each school. He introduced the following winners: RICARDO AGUILAR – Ollei Detwiler Elementary, CARLOS JACKSON – Matt Kelly Elementary, SANTANGELO WILLIS – Madison Elementary, MONIQUE CONNER – Kermit R. Booker Elementary, RAVEN ENNIS – Kit Carson Elementary, and SHELLY WETHERBY - Mabel Hoggard Elementary.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that these students did a fantastic job on their posters, and that drug abuse crosses all economic and color lines. It is very important to spread the message to the youth that drugs are not the answer and that there are alternatives to get involved in, such as sports and music. The youth need to feel good about themselves, know who they are, and where they could possibly go.

(9:30 – 9:36)

1-735

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 23 and 77 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:36 – 9:37)

1-931

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of March 20, 2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:37)

1-987

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: LESA CODER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of ratification of an executed Assistance Award/Amendment and Grant Agreement for a \$748,350 Economic Development Initiative Grant from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development for a new Metropolitan Police Downtown Area Command/Substation at 600 North 9th Street (APN#139-27-805-001) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development has awarded to the City of Las Vegas a grant of \$748,350 for downtown development initiatives. Per Resolution No. R-17-2001, the grant funds were designated to be used for a downtown Metropolitan Police substation or another downtown development initiative.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval of ratification of an executed Assistance Award/Amendment and Grant Agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda memo
2. 2/21/2001 Council minutes and Resolution No. R-17-2001
3. Executed Assistance Award/Amendment & Grant Agreement
4. Site map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he would be abstaining on Item 8 because the special event will be held right outside Timbers Bar & Grill, which is owned by his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER, who could gain financially. However, he would be voting on Item 36, even though it involves a business that is adjacent to another Timbers Bar & Grill that is also owned by his brother-in-law, because he has not discussed it with his brother-in-law and could remain impartial. He indicated that he would also be voting on Item 15, even though it involves a secondhand dealer's license and he and his brother are secondhand dealers, because the business is

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Business Development

Item 3 - Approval of ratification of an executed Assistance Award/Amendment and Grant Agreement for a \$748,350 Economic Development Initiative Grant from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development for a new Metropolitan Police Downtown Area Command/Substation at 600 North 9th Street (APN#139-27-805-001)

MOTION - Continued:

not located within close proximity of any of the businesses owned by him and his brother and he could remain impartial.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that Items 48 and 49 be approved by the City Council and that Items 50, 51, and 52 be brought forward for discussion, as they were forwarded to the Full Council without a recommendation.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, requested that Item No. 8 be pulled from the Consent Agenda for a brief comment.

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 03/01/02 - 03/15/02

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$	13,804,764.14
Total Payroll Checks	\$	4,428,306.66
Total Wire Transfers	\$	33,243,676.57
Total NBS and City Investments	\$	0.00

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Notice of Intent to Augment and Amend the Fiscal Year 2002 Annual Budget of the City of Las Vegas General Fund

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Budget & Finance Division

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Publish notice of the City's intent to act on a resolution to augment and amend the Fiscal Year 2002 budget of the General Fund in an amount not to exceed \$21 million in order to effect an increase in appropriations, pursuant to NRS 354.615. The public hearing will be held in the City Council Chambers on May 1, 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve intent to augment and amend the FY 2002 budget and authorize notice to be properly posted and published.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Notice for Publication

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

DEAN FLETCHER, President, City Firefighters Union, questioned when he would be able to obtain the information to be presented at the public hearing that is to be held on 5/1/2002.

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, explained that this item was scheduled to give notice of the public hearing. The documentation will be available when the 5/1/2002 agenda is published.

CITY CLERK RONEMUS indicated that she would confer with MR. FLETCHER to make sure he obtains any documentation that is made available.

There was no further discussion.

(9:41 – 9:43)

1-1118

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Banking Services Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank at an estimated annual cost of \$200,000 (General Fund and Enterprise Fund revenues)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$200,000/year☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance/Sanitation/Parking☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund and Enterprise Fund revenues**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Currently, the City maintains numerous bank accounts and other banking services among three banks operating in Las Vegas. These banks are Bank of America, US Bank of Nevada, and Wells Fargo Bank Nevada. It was decided that it would be in the City's best interest to consolidate our banking with one service provider, thereby increasing efficiency and cost-effectiveness. On November 27, 2001, the City released RFP 020028-LR requesting proposals for banking services. The same three banks that the City currently uses responded with submitted proposals. A City evaluation committee selected Wells Fargo Bank as the winning proposer.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Council approve this agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Banking Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Julie Duckett, 3741 Mornings Dawn Street, Julie Duckett, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Pacific Rainbow, LLC, Location: Timbers Parking Lot, 2200 North Rainbow Blvd., Date: April 27, 2002, Type: Special Event Beer/Wine, Event: Backyard BBQ & Party, Responsible Person in Charge: Tim McCullough - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to Planning approvals – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining

NOTE: Under Item 3, COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he would be abstaining on this matter because the special event will be held right outside Timbers Bar & Grill, which is owned by his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER, who could gain financially.

MINUTES:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Finance and Business Services, recommended the matter be approved subject to Planning approvals.

There was no further discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1181

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for St. Anne Catholic School, Location: St. Anne Catholic School Campus, 1813 South Maryland Pkwy., Date: April 27 & 28, 2002, Type: Special Event General, Event: Annual Fun Fair, Responsible Person in Charge: Shanti Koehler - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for International Festival Association, Inc.,
Location: Clark County Government Center, 500 Grand Central Parkway, Date: May 4 & 5,
2002, Type: Special Event General, Event: International Food and Folklife Festival, Responsible
Person in Charge: Gary Sayre - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in
ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS**

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Burglar Alarm Service, From: ASLC, Inc., dba ASLC, Inc., To: @Security Broadband Nevada Operations, Inc., dba @Security Broadband Nevada Operations, Inc., 6201 South Industrial Road, Harris H. Bass, Pres, Secy, Daniel J. Pike, VP, Karen L. Miller, Treas, Larry E. Smith, Qualified Employee - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Burglar Alarm Service

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Rebecca A. Manor, dba Becca Kneads You, From: 7310 Smoke Ranch Road, Suite M, To: 2620 Regatta Drive, Suite 113, Rebecca A. Manor, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Reclassification and Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Un-Stress Express, Inc., dba Un-Stress Express, Inc., From: Massage Establishment License, 7501 West Lake Mead Blvd., Suite 109, To: Independent Massage Therapist License, 6528 Sterling Springs Parkway, Glenn Hall, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Reclassification and Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Laura Bailey, dba Laura Bailey, From: 555 East Silverado Ranch Blvd., Unit 2096, To: 9000 Las Vegas Blvd., South, Unit 2149, Laura G. Bailey, 100% - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Class III-A Secondhand Dealer License, National Diversified Brokers, Inc., dba From: Diamond Jewelry & Coin Centre, To: Diamond Jewelry & Fine Art Center, 1725 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 12, Michael S. Cohen, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Class III-A Secondhand Dealer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: Under Item 3, COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that he would be voting on this matter, even though it involves a secondhand dealers license and he and his brother are secondhand dealers, because the business is not located within close proximity of any of the businesses owned by him and his brother and he could remain impartial.

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Class III-B Secondhand Dealer License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, I N D Pallets, dba I N D Pallets, From: 1205 Western Ave., To: 208 West Utah Ave., Ricardo Acosta, Ptnr, 20%, Juana A. Perez, Ptnr, 80% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Class III-B Secondhand Dealer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 02.1730.10-RC, Rancho Drive Drainage Improvements Phase 1 & 2 and approve the construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: FREHNER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY (\$6,777,777 - Clark County Regional Flood District) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$6,777,777

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Clark Co. Regional Flood District

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This project consists of the installation of precast reinforced concrete box culverts, reinforced concrete pipes, & cast in place concrete structures. The work also includes the removal & replacement of AC pavement; protection/relocation of existing electrical & telephone facilities; temporary & permanent relocation of water mains; removal & replacement of concrete curb, gutter & sidewalk; & construction surveying. The general location of work is Rancho Dr. bet. Decatur & Smoke Ranch; & Smoke Ranch/Carey bet. Rancho/Carey-Lake Mead detention basin.

POC: Dennis Woie - (702) 649-2530

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 02.1730.10-RC, Rancho Drive Drainage Improvements Phase 1 & 2 to Frehner Construction Company in the amount of \$6,777,777 and approve a construction conflicts & contingency reserve of \$500,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Consent - Finance and Business Services

Item 17 - Approval of award of Bid Number 02.1730.10-RC, Rancho Drive Drainage Improvements Phase 1 & 2 and approve the construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: FREHNER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY (\$6,777,777 - Clark County Regional Flood District)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Preapproval of Bid Number 02.15341.08-LED, Alta Drive West Improvements to the lowest responsive & responsible or best bidder and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance & Business Services - Department of Public Works (monetary range \$1,200,000 to \$1,600,000 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,600,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This project consists of demolition of improvements within the right-of-way, AC paving, sidewalk, driveways, curb and gutters, landscaping, irrigation and streetlights. Construction of new curb and gutter, AC paving, concrete sidewalk, driveways, minor utilities, landscaping, irrigation and the installation of decorative street lights. Also includes an additive alternate item for landscape and irrigation maintenance.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council preapprove the award of Bid Number 02.15341.08-LED, Alta Drive West Improvements to the lowest responsive and responsible or best bidder in the monetary range of \$1,200,000 to \$1,600,000 and approve a construction and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Contract Modification Number One to Bid Number 00.1730.33-RC, Gowan South Detention Basin Expansion & Sports Park - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: AMERICAN ASPHALT GRADING COMPANY (\$600,000 - Parks CIP) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$600,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Parks CIP**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On September 20, 2000, the City Council preapproved the award of Bid Number 00.1730.33-RC, Gowan South Detention Basin Expansion & Sports Park. The original scope of this project consisted of the construction of 8 baseball/softball fields, concessions/toilet building, skatepark and 3 picnic shelters. Additional funding is required due to the redesign and expansion of the skatepark facility which will inevitably affect the over design of the project. The original awarded amount was \$8,263,153. This request is for \$600,000 bringing the total cost of this project to \$8,863,153.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve Contract Modification No. One to Bid No. 00.1730.33-RC, Gowan So. Detention Basin Expansion & Sports Park in the amount of \$600,000. Authority to execute Modification on behalf of the City is given to the Purchasing & Contracts Manager pursuant to Resolution R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval to award Bid Number 02.19401.01-LED, Replace HVAC System, Dula Gym and approve the construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: RYAN MECHANICAL, INC. (\$123,985 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$123,985☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This project consists of the replacement of four (4) evaporative coolers and associated ductwork with two (2) thirty-ton package unit chillers and associated ductwork. Included in the scope are the electrical runs from the main switch gear to the chillers (roof mounted) and natural gas lines for the heating elements. All structural and roof work to be done by others and coordinated with the Contractor.

POC: Torey Lee Witt - (702) 631-7777

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 02.19401.01-LED, Replace HVAC System, Dula Gym to Ryan Mechanical, Inc. in the amount of \$123,985 and approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve \$12,399.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 020048-KF, Annual Requirements Contract for Fire Rescue Equipment - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: PARTRIDGE CORP. for Lot I; L.N. CURTIS & SONS for Lots II & IV; FASTENERS INC. for Lot III and FS 3 for Lot V (Estimated aggregate annual amount of \$120,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$120,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This is an annual requirements contract for the purchase of fire rescue equipment on an as needed basis.

POC: Diane Partridge - Partridge Corp. - (303) 698-2040

POC: J.R. Lee - Fasteners Inc - (702) 873-4332

POC: Paul Curtis - L.N. Curtis & Sons - (800) 443-3556

POC: Frank Lane - FS 3 - (800) 388-6607

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the award of Bid Number 020048-KF, ARC for Fire Rescue Equipment to Partridge Corp; L.N. Curtis & Sons; Fasteners Inc. and FS 3 for the period from date of award through January 31, 2003, with (4) one-yr. renewal options in the estimated aggregate annual amount of \$120,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICE

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 020046-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Fire Extinguisher Service, Inspections and Purchase - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: SUNRISE FIRE (Estimated annual usage amount of \$100,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$100,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fac. Mgmt

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This annual requirements contract will provide for the service, inspections and purchase of new fire extinguishers located in various City Buildings.

POC: Glenn Brown - (702) 228-0893

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 020046-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Fire Extinguisher Service, Inspections and Purchase to Sunrise Fire in the est. annual usage amount of \$100,000, from the date of award through June 30, 2003, with four (4) one-year options to renew.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of bids and award of Bid Number 020057-DAR, Open End Contract for Skid Steer Tractor - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: HERTZ EQUIPMENT RENTAL, INC. (\$82,140 - Internal Services Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$82,140

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fleet Mgmt

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Internal Services Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This contract will provide for the purchase of two skid steer tractors - one replacement and one addition to the City's existing fleet, with the option to purchase additional units in the same production year.

The first and second low bids noted numerous exceptions to the technical specifications of the bidding documents; thus deeming those bids non-responsive. The third bid met all conditions of the technical specifications.

POC: Robert Bradsley - (702) 876-2223

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of the non-responsive bids and approve the award of Bid Number 020057-DAR, Open End Contract for Skid Steer Tractor to Hertz Equipment Rental in the amount of \$82,140.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 23 and 77 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:36 – 9:37)

1-931

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of all bids for Bid Number 020051-DAR, Towable Forklift - Department of Field Operations

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement would have provided for the replacement of one irreparable forklift and the addition of one forklift for use by the Department of Fire & Rescue.

The budgeted amount for this procurement is \$70,000; however, of the two bids received for this requirement, one bid included numerous exceptions to the technical specifications and the other bid exceeded the budgeted amount. As such, staff is recommending rejection of all bids so that the technical specifications can be reevaluated.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of all bids for Bid Number 020051-DAR, Towable Forklift.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an allocation of \$20,000 in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) additional undesignated program income funds to the Immigrant Workers Citizenship Project (IWCP) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$20,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: CDBG****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Immigrant Workers Citizenship Project, a non-profit agency, requested funding to continue its mission of facilitation of the naturalization process. The Immigrant Workers Citizenship Project, began operations October 1, 2001 in order to help immigrants become U.S. citizens by providing ESL, GED, and naturalization classes.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve the allocation of additional undesignated program income funds and authorize the Director of Neighborhood Services to execute the Agreement with the subrecipient upon approval by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Letter of request from Immigrant Workers Citizenship Project

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an allocation of \$6,387 in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) additional undesignated program income funds to the Key Foundation for the Jobs For Veterans Project - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$6,387**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: CDBG****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In a letter dated January 4, 2002, the Key Foundation requested additional funding in the amount of \$6,387 to offset the costs of transportation through its "Jobs For Veterans Project" to provide homeless veterans gainful employment at seven (7) national parks in four (4) states. The additional undesignated program income allocation of \$6,387 will be mainly utilized for transportation costs to the national parks as well as work cards, fingerprint cards, work clothes, work shoes and tools. The Key Foundation, a local non-profit agency, assists primarily homeless veterans in obtaining employment.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve the allocation of additional undesignated program income and authorize the Director of Neighborhood Services to execute the Agreement with the subrecipient upon approval by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Letter of Request from Key Foundation

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contract #401 by and between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for design of the Durango Drive, Westcliff Drive to Vegas Drive roadway project (\$825,000 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$825,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Contract #401 applies to design for the Durango Drive, Westcliff Drive to Vegas Drive roadway project. Improvements will include a half diamond interchange at Durango Drive and Summerlin Parkway. The basic improvements will result in full roadway improvements, drainage, traffic signals, median islands and/or median access according to adjacent land use, pavement markings and signing. Improvements will include four (4) lanes with overpass at Summerlin Parkway. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$8,150,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract #401

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Interlocal Contract #397 by and between the City and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for design of the Anasazi/Summerlin Interchange and Overpass, Crestdale Lane to Beltway (\$400,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Wards 2 and 4 (L.B. McDonald and Brown)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$400,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: RTC****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This Interlocal Contract #397 applies to design for the Anasazi/Summerlin Interchange and Overpass, Crestdale Lane to Beltway. The basic improvements will result in full roadway improvements, drainage, traffic signals, median islands and/or median access according to adjacent land use, pavement markings and signing. Improvements will include interchange structure and Summerlin roadway, two (2) lanes in each direction. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$4,400,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract #397

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Supplemental Interlocal Contract #383a, for construction of Buffalo Drive - Sky Pointe Drive to Wittig Avenue (\$2,112,000 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$2,112,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Supplemental Interlocal Contract #383a applies to construction engineering and construction for roadway improvements to Buffalo Drive from Sky Pointe Drive to Wittig Avenue. Total cost of this project shall not exceed \$2,162,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Supplemental Interlocal Contract #383a

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant with the Bureau of Land Management for roadway, sewer and drainage purposes on portions of land lying within the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 18 and the Southeast Quarter (SE1/4) of Section 19, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., generally located on the north side of Farm Road and the south side of Deer Springs Way, between Fort Apache and Grand Canyon Drive - APN's 125-18-601-001, -010, 125-19-701-004 and -007 - Ward 6 (Mack) and County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Declaration of Utilization from the Bureau of Land Management for a portion of the Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) of Section 20, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., for road, sewer and drainage purposes located on the north and south sides of Dorrell Lane, west of El Capitan – APN's: 125-20-101-015, -016, -017, -201-006, -007, and -008 – Ward 6 (Mack) and County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant with the Bureau of Land Management for road, sewer and drainage purposes on portions of land lying within the Southwest Quarter (SW¼) of Section 12, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., generally located on the south side of Horse Drive, west of Bradley Road and the west side of Bradley Road, south of Horse Drive - APN 125-12-301-002 - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Declaration of Utilization from the Bureau of Land Management for portions of the Southwest Quarter (SW 1/4) of Section 17 and the Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) of Section 20, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., for road, sewer and drainage purposes located on the north and south sides of Elkhorn Road, west of the El Capitan Way alignment – APN's: 125-17-401-004, 125-20-101-006, -007 and -008 – Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Sewer Refunding Agreement with Astoria Homes for Iron Mountain Estates West (\$285,705 - Sanitation Funds) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$285,705

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Sanitation Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Astoria Homes is developing property known as "Iron Mountain Estates West". This Sewer Refunding Agreement provides for over-sizing costs for the Iron Mountain Road and Fort Apache sewer. The basis for the over-sizing is explained on the attached Exhibit "B". This agreement will reimburse the developer for \$285,705.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Sewer Refunding Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Agreement No. 107986 with the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for the construction and construction administration of water facilities to be installed in conjunction with the City's Tropical Parkway Grade Separation (Overpass) Project over US 95 (\$125,000 - Las Vegas Valley Water District) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$125,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: LVVWD

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The LVVWD desires that the City install water facilities (water line casing pipe) in conjunction with the construction of the Tropical Parkway Grade Separation (Overpass) over US 95. The pipe casing will be used to encase a future water line under Sky Pointe Drive thus avoiding disruption of the roadway during installation of the water line. The cost associated with this agreement is \$125,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement No. 107986

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Bill of Sale to the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for transfer of ownership of water distribution facilities installed in conjunction with the Craig Road Improvements Project, US 95 to Durango Drive, SID #1470 - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City desires to transfer ownership of water distribution facilities to the LVVWD. These facilities were installed in conjunction with the Craig Road Improvements Project.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill of Sale

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: Under Item 3, COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he would be voting on this matter, even though it involves a business that is adjacent to a Timbers Bar & Grill that is owned by his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER, because he has not discussed it with his brother-in-law and could remain impartial.

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Bill of Sale to the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for transfer of ownership of water distribution facilities installed in conjunction with the Jones Boulevard Road Improvements Project, Rancho Drive to Centennial Parkway, SID #1471 - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City desires to transfer ownership of water distribution facilities to the LVVWD. These facilities were installed in conjunction with the Jones Boulevard Road Improvements Project.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill of Sale

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Amendment No. 1 to Agreement No. P285-99-010 with the Nevada Department of Transportation for the design, right-of-way acquisition, construction, and construction management of the Elkhorn Road Grade Separation (Overpass) Project over US 95 (\$7,200,000 - Federal Highway Administration, Nevada Department of Transportation, and Regional Transportation Commission Statewide Transportation Improvement Program Funds) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$7,200,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: FHWA, NDOT, RTC STIP Funds****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City desires to construct the Elkhorn Road Grade Separation (Overpass) over US 95. The cost associated with this agreement is \$7,200,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Amendment No. 1 to Agreement No. P285-99-010

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Engineering Design Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting Inc. for engineering design services in conjunction with the roadway and drainage improvements on Alexander Road, Durango Drive to Rancho Drive (\$385,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$385,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Engineering Design Services Agreement will secure the services of Stantec Consulting Inc. for the engineering design services for the construction of the roadway and drainage improvements on Alexander Road, Durango Drive to Rancho Drive.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Engineering Design Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Parsons Transportation Group for Construction Management Services on the Tenaya Way - Sky Pointe Drive to Centennial Parkway project (\$192,389.34 - Regional Transportation Commission; \$56,161.56 - Special Improvement District; \$38,017.06 - Las Vegas Valley Water District; \$1,440.04 - Sanitation Fund - Total \$288,008) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$288,008

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC, CLV SID, LVVWD, Sanitation Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City is preparing to provide full street improvements along the alignment of Tenaya Way from Sky Pointe Drive to Centennial Parkway.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement for Material Testing and Inspection Services with Kleinfelder Inc. on the Ann Road - US 95 to Ferrell Street project (\$359,451.42 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$359,451.42

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City is preparing to provide full street improvements along the alignment of Ann Road - from US 95 to Ferrell Street.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of payment to Nevada Power Company for raising an overhead transmission line at the intersection of Owens Avenue and Eastern Avenue (\$48,914 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$48,914

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas desires to install a new traffic signal at the intersection of Owens Avenue and Eastern Avenue. The existing overhead power transmission lines will interfere with the luminaire arm on the new signal. This item will reimburse Nevada Power Company for raising the existing lines to provide safe access for future maintenance.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Nevada Power Company Letter

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GEOCKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Amendment Number 2 to the Interlocal Contract with Clark County for the Department of Social Services to assist in evaluating City-designated special improvement district hardship applications (\$35 an hour/estimated \$1,000 a year) - (Revolving Special Improvement District Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$35 an hour/est. \$1000/yr.☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/SID☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Revolving SID Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Per NRS 271.357, the City is required to have a hardship evaluation process in place for those residents included in a City-designated special improvement district (SID). This interlocal with Clark County allows the City to use the services provided by Clark County Social Services to evaluate applications received by property owners requesting hardship assistance. This amendment is required to exercise the second of four (4) one-year options to renew and extend the terms of the contract from July 1, 2002, through June 30, 2003.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Amendment Number 2 to the Interlocal Contract

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Agreement No. 108141 with the Las Vegas Valley Water District to provide water service for the Alta Drive West Improvement Project (\$36,569 - Street Rehabilitation - Capital Improvement Project) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$36,569

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Engineering Integration

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Street Rehab/CIP

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

A necessary part of this project is the installation of water service. Before the Las Vegas Valley Water District will sign the service connection documents and allow the City to install the water service, the Interlocal Agreement with conditional water commitment must be executed and the required fees paid.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement No.108141

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to install sidewalks and street lights on the north side of Oakey Boulevard between 8th Street and 11th Street, where sidewalks and street lights are not in place (\$90,000 reallocated to Public Works Capital Improvement Project Fund) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$90,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: PW CIP

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Sidewalks exist on the south side of Oakey Boulevard between 8th Street and 11th Street. There are no sidewalks on the north side. There are no street lights along this same stretch of Oakey. In the interest of public safety, it is desirable to install the above mentioned improvements.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-28-2002 - Approval of a resolution of intent to issue General Obligation (Limited Tax) Parking Bonds (additionally secured by pledged revenues) and authorizing the sale thereof - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This 2002 Resolution of Intent to Issue Parking Bonds proposes the issuance of, and authorizes the publication of notices relating to General Obligation (Limited Tax) Parking Bonds (additionally secured by pledged revenues) for the purpose of financing a building project for the City. The City received approval from the Clark County Debt Management Commission on April 5, 2002 to proceed with this bond issue.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

R-28-2002 - Resolution of Intent to Issue Parking Bonds

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-29-2002 - Approval of a Resolution amending Schedule 25-IV to add the speed limit of 45 mph on Summerlin Parkway between Anasazi Drive and the Las Vegas Beltway - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The speed limit on Summerlin Parkway between Anasazi Drive and the Las Vegas Beltway is presently posted at 45 mph. A speed limit of 45 mph is appropriate for this section of roadway because of the signalized intersections at Anasazi Drive and the Las Vegas Beltway. This item will officially add this section of roadway to Schedule 25-IV of the Traffic Schedule.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission: Approval

Staff: Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-29-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: LESA CODER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE: Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of Retail Holdings One, LLC request to extend Close of Escrow date for land in the Las Vegas Enterprise Park (\$633,160.50 revenue - Industrial Revenue Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$633,160.50

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: OBD/Economic Development Div.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Industrial Revenue Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This item is to extend the Close of Escrow date by sixty (60) days for Purchase and Sale Agreement of 2 acre and 2.3 acre parcels located in Las Vegas Enterprise Park.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 4/15/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Locator Map
3. Disclosure of Ownership/Principals
4. Letter of Request

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that Items 48 and 49 be approved by the City Council and that Items 50, 51, and 52 be brought forward for discussion, as they were forwarded to the Full Council without a recommendation.

There was no further discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of Veterans Administration's notice to renew a one year lease for real property between City of Las Vegas and U.S. Government through the Department of Veterans Affairs for land in the Las Vegas Enterprise Park and waive the ninety (90) day notice requirement (\$1 annual lease rent revenue - Industrial Revenue Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1 Annual lease rent☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** OBD/Economic Development Div.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Industrial Revenue Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This item is intended to extend the lease of 3.228 acres of land in the Las Vegas Enterprise Park to the U.S. Government to allow the selection of developer to build a 41,000 sq. ft., two-story office facility for the Veterans Benefits Administration.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 4/15/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Lease Agreement (Dated May 4, 2001)
3. Site Map
4. Letter from Leo A. Phelan, Dept. Veterans Affairs (Dated March 18, 2002)
5. Letter from Anthony J. Principi, Dept. of Veterans Affairs to Hon. Robert C. Byrd, U. S. Senate (Dated February 22, 2002)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that Items 48 and 49 be approved by the City Council and that Items 50, 51, and 52 be brought forward for discussion, as they were forwarded to the Full Council without a recommendation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Consent – Real Estate

Item 49 - Approval of Veterans Administration's notice to renew a one year lease for real property between City of Las Vegas and U.S. Government through the Department of Veterans Affairs for land in the Las Vegas Enterprise Park and waive the ninety (90) day notice requirement (\$1 annual lease rent revenue - Industrial Revenue Fund)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Revocable License Agreement between the City of Las Vegas (City) and Howard Hughes Properties, Inc. (HHP) to grant the City use and programming rights for two baseball fields and a pool facility referred to as Arbors Sports Park built by HHP on Parcel # 137-35-501-004 - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

HHP has completing the construction of two (2) baseball fields and will be completing the construction of an Olympic size swimming facility in May. HHP has proposed that these facilities be conveyed to the City in exchange for the City conveying Trails Pool to HHP. In order for the Department of Leisure Services to redirect their pool programming activity to this location for the summer months, the department would need possession of the pool by May. This License Agreement would grant them this temporary access while a more permanent agreement is prepared and legal work is performed.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 4/15/2002 Real Estate Committee forwarded this item to Full Council with no recommendation. Staff recommends approval subject to a permanent agreement being approved in concept by the Las Vegas City Council.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Revocable License Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Consent – Real Estate

Item 50 - Approval of a Revocable License Agreement between the City of Las Vegas (City) and Howard Hughes Properties, Inc. (HHP) to grant the City use and programming rights for two baseball fields and a pool facility referred to as Arbors Sports Park built by HHP on Parcel # 137-35-501-004

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that Items 48 and 49 be approved by the City Council and that Items 50, 51, and 52 be brought forward for discussion, as they were forwarded to the Full Council without a recommendation.

There was no further discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Revocable License Agreement between the City of Las Vegas (City) and Howard Hughes Properties, Inc. (HHP) to grant to HHP the use of a pool facility located at Trails Park, 1920 Spring Gate Lane - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

HHP has proposed to the City that HHP convey two baseball fields and an Olympic size pool facility located near Veteran Memorial Recreation Center in exchange for the City conveying the Trails Pool facility located at Trails Park. This License Agreement would allow the exchange to occur in May so programming needs could be accomplished for the summer months by the Department of Leisure Services.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 4/15/2002 Real Estate Committee forwarded this item to Full Council with no recommendation. Staff recommends approval subject to a permanent agreement being approved in concept by the Las Vegas City Council to facilitate a permanent exchange of properties.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Revocable License Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that Items 48 and 49 be approved by the City Council and that Items 50, 51, and 52 be brought forward for discussion, as they were forwarded to the Full Council without a recommendation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Consent – Real Estate

Item 51 - [Approval of a Revocable License Agreement between the City of Las Vegas \(City\) and Howard Hughes Properties, Inc. \(HHP\) to grant to HHP the use of a pool facility located at Trails Park, 1920 Spring Gate Lane](#)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Real Property Exchange Agreement between the City of Las Vegas (City) and The Howard Hughes Corporation (THHC) for the exchange of facilities located near Veterans Memorial Leisure Services Center and Trails Park (Not to exceed \$500,000 - General Fund) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** Not to exceed \$500,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

THHC has proposed to the City that an exchange of properties take place between the two entities. THHC will grant ownership of a new Olympic size swimming facility, ownership of lighted sports ball fields, and convey title to land under which Veterans Memorial Leisure Services Center resides along with a tot lot and a parking lot in exchange for the City giving THHC ownership of a pool facility at Trails Park and paying for the renovation and repair of the pool not to exceed \$500,000 in order to bring the facility up to "new" condition standards.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 4/15/2002 Real Estate Committee forwarded this item to Full Council with no recommendation. Staff requests that the Las Vegas City Council direct staff as to whether staff should pursue discussion and approval of THHC for this Real Property Exchange Agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Real Property Exchange Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-22, and 24-49 and bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 50, 51 and 52 to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

Item 5 & 8: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 23: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Consent – Real Estate

Item 52 - [Approval of a Real Property Exchange Agreement between the City of Las Vegas \(City\) and The Howard Hughes Corporation \(THHC\) for the exchange of facilities located near Veterans Memorial Leisure Services Center and Trails Park \(Not to exceed \\$500,000 - General Fund\)](#)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that Items 48 and 49 be approved by the City Council and that Items 50, 51, and 52 be brought forward for discussion, as they were forwarded to the Full Council without a recommendation.

There was no further discussion.

(9:37 – 9:41)

1-996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Manager (CM) Report will be a vehicle for the City Manager to update the Council on emerging issues that may have an impact on the City of Las Vegas. The CM Report will be a reoccurring item for every Council meeting. If there are no items for the particular meeting, the City Manager will recommend that the item be stricken.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required. A report was given.

MINUTES:

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE reported on the condition of the Veterans Administration (VA) building. The main problem between the landlord and the VA is being caused by structural issues. About 18 months ago VA staff started noticing cracks and four months ago the VA hired a consultant to assess the problem. Since then the landlord and the VA have been negotiating on how to make repairs and who is responsible for the repairs, which may take 8 to 10 months to complete. The condition of the building is not to the point where it needs to be vacated immediately.

The VA is also working on obtaining some administrative space, but clinical space is going to be more difficult to find. However, they have no intention of permanently leaving the current site.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY questioned the City's responsibility, because he has been receiving telephone calls from citizens claiming that the City is responsible because the City allocated the land. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE answered that the City is not responsible.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Administrative

Item 53 – Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY then asked if the City is assisting the VA in getting the message out. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE replied that the City has not been asked to assist, but staff would be happy to do so and to try to provide a current inventory of space.

COUNCILMAN REESE raised a concern about the bad condition in which the streets throughout Ward 3 are being left due to the gas company's work on the gas lines. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY also brought up that the roads are being left uneven. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE explained that sometimes temporary pavement is put down until the trenches even out. She assured COUNCILMEN REESE and WEEKLY that she would follow up to make sure that the gas company intends to leave the roads whole.

COUNCILMAN MACK requested that an item be scheduled on the agenda to review the process for hiring a new City Manager so that all the Council members are on the same track. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE indicated that it would be scheduled on the 5/1/2002 agenda.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD thanked the City Manager for the efforts of her staff with regard to the drug rehabilitation care facility located next to Hyde Park. The surrounding residents have signed a petition, and it has been discovered that the facility is wrongfully zoned.

He then expressed concern about the danger in crossing the street at College Drive and Oakey, especially when the people are exiting the parking lot of the Opportunity Village store that is located there. He indicated that he has received numerous calls about customers of the Port Tack parking on Spanish Oaks Drive, which is illegal, and the loud parties that are being held at the Port Tack. Also, there is a drainage problem entering Scotch 80s from Shadow Lane. He directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to address these problems.

Finally, COUNCILMAN McDONALD commended DEPUTY CITY MANAGER SELBY, LARRY HAUGSNESS, RICHARD GOECKE, and JOHN BLACK for taking care of the problem at the Dexter Park fields. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE interjected that these gentlemen were at Dexter Park on Saturday to make sure the problem was resolved. COUNCILMAN McDONALD also noted that DAVID RIGGLEMAN'S cameramen did a wonderful job of covering the opening.

There was no further discussion.

(9:44 – 9:53)

1-1228

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action regarding the future use of the MASH Village site located at 1559 North Main Street and 1581 North Main Street, and to direct staff to draft a development/usage strategy for the site - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On March 29, 2002, the city received a letter from S.V.D.P. Management, Inc., the current operator of M.A.S.H. Village, indicating that S.V.D.P. must withdraw as Operator, on or before October 1, 2002. The city has some discretion in determining the most appropriate use for the M.A.S.H. Village site, contingent on past funding constraints arising from the expenditure of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds. Determining the most appropriate use is also affected by: (1) the physical condition of the improvements to the property; and (2) financial constraints of operating the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

Direct staff to develop a plan of action for a development/usage strategy and potentially prepare a draft RFP for the site to comply with CDBG federal regulations.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Letter from S.V.D.P. Management, Inc., dated April 1, 2002, and received on March 29, 2002
Submitted after the meeting: copy of written comments of Tom McGowan, Las Vegas resident

MOTION:

WEEKLY – AUTHORIZED staff to prepare and send out Request for Proposals to operate the M.A.S.H. Village, to negotiate with one or more service providers on the M.A.S.H. site, and to confer with the State, County, and public and private agencies to develop a plan and bring it back to the Council for consideration – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that she sits as a Trustee on the Board of Catholic Charities and questioned whether she would have to abstain because her signature would be on any proposal that would be submitted to the City for the M.A.S.H. Village site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Administrative

Item 54 – Discussion and possible action regarding the future use of the M.A.S.H. Village site located at 1559 North Main Street and 1581 North Main Street, and to direct staff to draft a development/usage strategy for the site

MOTION – Continued:

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN advised that COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD did not have to abstain at this time because this matter only involves the Manager's request for authorization to negotiate. Catholic Charities may not be interested in the operation of this site and they will certainly not be involved in the staff efforts.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services Department

JOHN REDLEIN, Assistant City Attorney

ED GOBLE, Southwest Regional Director, Chapel of Four Chaplains

PATRICIA MARTINELLI-PRICE, Homeless Advocate

DELRAY RHODES

GUS RAMOS, Chair, Southern Nevada Homeless Coalition

ANTHONY MOSLEY, Chair and Executive Director, God in Me Ministries

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village resident

TERRY WRITER, President, Key Foundation

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN instructed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to investigate how long the HUD repayment obligation exists.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed the City Attorney's office to give him a definite answer on the County's obligation as far as taking care of the mentally ill indigents; if so, how to get the money from the County to pay for it, as well as the obligation of the State of Nevada, as brought up by COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to take an inventory of the M.A.S.H. Village building and assess the damage.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN to find out if there is some vehicle that could be used to put a person that appears to be suffering from a mental illness in a facility for complete evaluation, even if that person has not committed any violation.

(9:53 – 10:43)

1-1567

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action on directing City Manager to negotiate an agreement among Clark County and Salvation Army for funding and operation of 130 beds (90 beds for men, 40 beds for women) for overnight emergency shelter for a period not to exceed 3 months and the City of Las Vegas contribution not to exceed \$24,000 - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$24,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** CDBG**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The temporary tent structure being operated at M.A.S.H. Village is scheduled to close no later than June 15, 2002. The new facilities at St. Vincent Plaza, operated by Catholic Charities, are projected to open between August and September of 2002. The provision of additional beds at Salvation Army for a three-month period (June 15, 2002 through September 15, 2002) would help offset demand for shelter beds until the Catholic Charities reconstruction is complete, or until September 15, 2002, whichever is sooner.

RECOMMENDATION:

Direct City Manager to negotiate allocation of not more than \$24,000 in CDBG funds among Clark County and Salvation Army (\$8,000 per month), for a period not to exceed 3 months.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Proposal for Emergency Shelter at the Salvation Army's Day Resource Center, submitted by David Buer, and Dr. Leroy Pelton, UNLV School of Social Work, dated March 25, 2002
Submitted after the meeting: copy of written comments of Tom McGowan, Las Vegas resident

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended, contingent upon a daily report from staff on how many beds are filled daily, as requested by the Mayor - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE reported that a temporary tent structure is scheduled to close no later than 6/15/2002. Catholic Charities has plans to open 900 beds, but will not be ready until late summer. Staff is requesting that the City Council allow staff to negotiate with the Salvation Army to provide 130 beds until Catholic Charities opens its facility.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Administrative

Item 55 – Discussion and possible action on directing City Manager to negotiate an agreement among Clark County and Salvation Army for funding and operation of 130 beds (90 beds for men, 40 beds for women) for overnight emergency shelter for a period not to exceed 3 months and the City of Las Vegas contribution not to exceed \$24,000

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD pointed out that when Catholic Charities opens, beds will be made available to men that are willing to abide by the rules.

MAYOR GOODMAN questioned the entry criteria of the Salvation Army and the M.A.S.H. Tent. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE answered that the criteria probably parallels that of Catholic Charities, that no drugs, alcohol, and violence are permitted. As far as the criteria for the Tent, RUTH BRULAND, M.A.S.H. Village, stated that every man who accesses the Tent is searched for drugs and alcohol. The men may come in intoxicated as long as they do not cause any problems.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if the Tent would be coming down at the end of July. MS. BRULAND replied that the funding for the Tent runs through June 15, but she doubts that it will stay open even that long because the heat becomes intolerable.

BROTHER DAVID BUER urged the City Council to approve this matter, as it is so important to have basic shelter for those that need it. Many of the issues that have arisen are due to lack of basic shelter. He noted that there are already laws on the books, but adequate detox facilities do not exist. So many of the ideas that are being tossed around will only be helpful in the long-term. He referred to a piece of art by Artist DAVID HUMMER, which in his opinion depicts the suffering of the homeless person. He noted that everybody has a story and no one should be painted with the same brush.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY mentioned to BROTHER BUER that it is important that they work together on addressing this issue. Many of the problems that have come about are due to a lack of communication. However, as far as rules and regulations, he asked BROTHER BUER what types of rules his facility enforces. BROTHER BUER replied that the facility has very strict rules and it is a one-day oasis, where people can get off the street and eat and do laundry. The facility averages about 20 homeless a day. He noted that he also ran a 250-bed facility in Chicago where they also had strict rules. He noted that he is not arguing that rules are not necessary. On the contrary, they have to be enforced, but with common sense a facility can be filled to capacity. And if a person is inebriated and not belligerent or violent, that person can come to the facility and sleep it off.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Administrative

Item 55 – Discussion and possible action on directing City Manager to negotiate an agreement among Clark County and Salvation Army for funding and operation of 130 beds (90 beds for men, 40 beds for women) for overnight emergency shelter for a period not to exceed 3 months and the City of Las Vegas contribution not to exceed \$24,000

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN asked if the facility is licensed. BROTHER BUER stated that guests are invited for the day. People do not spend the night there. The neighbors were consulted before the facility started operating in 1974.

DAVID HUMMER, local artist, stated that he has dedicated the last decade of his life to portraying the homeless population. He congratulated BILL ARENT, April's Employee of the Month, for his favorite motto "The Dignity Within," which sums it up when dealing on a humanitarian level. People are individuals and all have a story. Opening the beds at the Salvation Army until the Catholic Charities Building is operating is a great thing.

There was no further discussion.

(10:43 – 10:55)

2-178

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: April 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion of the Governor's request for funding of the Nevada Protection Fund and possible action to include additional funds in amount established by Council

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The 2001 Legislature appropriated \$4 million for the Nevada Protection Fund. Governor Kenny Guinn has raised an additional \$2 million and he is seeking \$3 million from the Interim Finance Committee. There have been numerous private contributions. These funds will be used in the fight against the transportation of nuclear waste to Yucca Mountain. The tentative budget for FY03-04 includes \$100,000 appropriation for the fund.

The purpose of the fund is to finance a public relations and information campaign and the legal challenges to the repository.

The City Manager has requested an opinion from the City Attorney regarding the statutory limitations on the expenditure of funds for the purposes of the Nevada Protection Fund.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council make a decision regarding the use of contributing city funds to the Nevada Protection Fund and establish the amount if deemed appropriate.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted after the meeting: copy of written comments of Tom McGowan, Las Vegas resident

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE to 5/1/2002 to allow staff to come back with a recommended amount - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE reported that the 2001 Legislature appropriated \$4 million for the Nevada Protection Fund. Since then GOVERNOR KENNY GUINN has raised an additional \$2 million. Interim Finance approved \$3 million, subject to a match of local and private monies. These funds will be used in the fight against the transportation of nuclear waste, education, and legal defense fund.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Administrative

Item 56 – Discussion of the Governor's request for funding of the Nevada Protection Fund and possible action to include additional funds in amount established by Council

MINUTES – Continued:

The City's Tentative Budget for fiscal year 2003/2004 includes a \$100,000 appropriation for that fund. This Item gives the Council the opportunity to discuss whether they would like to contribute to the effort and to set that amount.

MAYOR GOODMAN opined that the effort is progressing well. He saw the ad that was being played in Vermont the previous day, which focuses the nation's attention on the fact that transportation is the real danger. Transportation has not been adequately addressed, and it is the nation's problem, not just Nevada's. He indicated that he met with Salt Lake City MAYOR ROSS ANDERSON's staff member, who said that the arguments against transportation of nuclear waste make a lot of sense. Consequently, MAYOR GOODMAN was invited to speak at a hearing before the Salt Lake City Council in order to elicit their support in this fight. It was suggested that SENATOR HARRY REID also attend, who was contacted and has expressed an interest. MAYOR ANDERSON's staff member indicated that bringing the fight to the front pages of the newspapers makes sense.

MAYOR GOODMAN urged the Council to endorse further support toward this fight, even though it would put a further strain on the budget, because it is so important to the future of the children and the community at large.

COUNCILMEMBERS L.B. McDONALD, MACK and REESE supported additional funding. They concurred that since the campaign against the transportation of nuclear waste, a lot of calls have been received from people agreeing that the actual transportation of the waste is the major part because it would go through various states and that they had never really thought of that, only about the storage. COUNCILMEMBERS L.B. McDONALD and REESE requested guidance from CITY MANAGER VALENTINE on how much more the City could afford to contribute. COUNCILMAN MACK offered to redirect funds from Ward 6 projects towards this fight, because an accident could destroy the quality of life for the families and children of this community. It is important that the City step up and make a commitment. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE noted that the funds would have to come from Capital Funds.

COUNCILMAN MACK asked if there are any restrictions on how the money can be applied. ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN answered that he could not find any inappropriateness with contributing funds for the general public good. It is parallel to the City contribution money to the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority to spend money to invite people to Las Vegas.

There was no further discussion.

(10:55 – 11:05)

2-590

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report from the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities on League services including communications, legislative policies, and related issues

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities coordinates legislative activities among municipal governments in Nevada. They assist members by providing insurance, communications, bi-monthly newsletter, legislative updates, and other research data. In addition, the League works closely with the National League of Cities and national, state, and local organizations for the betterment of communities and the State of Nevada.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:**None required. A report was given.****MINUTES:**

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE introduced DOUG DICKERSON, Executive Director, Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities, who made a presentation.

MR. DICKERSON recognized NANCY HOWARD, Assistant Director, Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities, who was in the audience. He indicated that they were visiting all 24-member entities of the League. Although the office is located in Carson City, he is working out of his home, and his goal is to eventually have an office in Las Vegas, since 45 of the 63 legislators will reside in Las Vegas after the upcoming election. Therefore, it is important for the League to have a presence in Southern Nevada.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Administrative

Item 57 - Report from the Nevada League of Cities and Municipalities on League services including communications, legislative policies, and related issues

MINUTES – Continued:

He stated that as soon as he took the job with the League, he realized that it was very important to improve services to the members by improving communications. The League is currently in the process of purchasing new equipment, upgrading software, and upgrading its website. A young man was employed from Clark County High School on a work-study program to assist the League with the website. This young man recently received the gold medal for 3-D website presentations. The goal is for the website to also include the ability to track legislative bills, which will greatly assist the various entities and save a lot of staff time.

He pointed out that at the Conference in August COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD will be sworn in as the new president of the League. There will be many events, and one of the main speakers scheduled to attend is MIKE FORTINO, Fortino Group, who is a renowned speaker, author, and media personality. Also, MAYOR GOODMAN has been invited to participate in one of the panels that MR. FORTINO will moderate to discuss current issues in the State of Nevada. He invited everyone to attend.

MAYOR GOODMAN expressed his appreciation to MR. DICKERSON for the efforts of the League in setting up meetings at the airport for all the mayors.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD noted that MR. DICKERSON is the first Executive Director on the League from Southern Nevada, and with him being from the biggest city, the members have already seen his leadership take the entire League to new heights. She noted that the League has already received 20 bill draft questions, with the City being limited to about four of those bills, it will be great to have better communication and dialogue. She is looking forward to serving as president and working with MR. DICKERSON.

There was no further discussion.

(11:05 – 11:13)

2-906

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to suspend enforcement of prohibition against outdoor display for a period of 90 days and other related matters

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Code currently prohibits the outdoor display of merchandise on sidewalks adjacent to private businesses. Numerous business owners on Main Street have requested a 90-day suspension of the enforcement of the prohibition of outdoor display in order to demonstrate that such display can be accomplished in a way that is both safe and not harmful to the aesthetics of the street. Should the Council approve this suspension for a 90-day period, merchants along Main Street will be allowed to display merchandise subject to conditions attached in the backup documentation.

RECOMMENDATION:

City Council to approve this suspension.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Main Street Property and Business Owners List and Conditions

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE to 5/1/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC recommended that this matter be held for two weeks due to the current redistricting process.

LARRY WATSON, 5840 S. Bronco, stated that he has met with most of the Council members and noted that the situation is not getting any better. He urged the Council to move forward as soon as possible. MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that the matter should be resolved within two weeks.

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked MR. WATSON for taking time away from his business.

There was no further discussion.

(11:13 – 11:15)

2-1190

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License, Sam Sadek Yousif, dba Liquor Stop 1, 865 North Lamb Blvd., Suite 1-4, Nadir O. Kalandos, Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be given following discussion of this item at the City Council Meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE to 6/19/2002 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, was present.

SERGEANT REAGAN ALEXANDER, Special Investigations, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro), reported that Metro's concern is with MR. KALANDOS' conviction record, as outlined in the report, and because the original charges occurred at the time he was employed by the communications company. The amount of restitution is for approximately \$270,000, with payments to be made monthly. He is supposed to return to California in June 2002 for a probation review.

MR. KALANDOS indicated that he applied with the parole/probation office to apply for release from probation, but he was informed that there was no record of his name. He then tried to contact his lawyer in San Diego, but he was not in, so he is still trying to make contact.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 59 – Discussion and possible action regarding Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License, Sam Sadek Yousif, dba Liquor Stop 1, 865 North Lamb Blvd., Suite 1-4, Nadir O. Kalandos, Mgr

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN opted to hold the matter until a report is obtained concerning MR. KALANDOS' probation.

There was no further discussion.

(11:15 – 11:20)

2-1282

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Gaming License subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Four Queens, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Elsinore Corporation, PTC, Philip W. Madow, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, Gen Mgr, To: TLC-4Q, Inc., dba Four Queens Hotel & Casino, 202 Fremont Street, Terry L. Caudill, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, TLC Casino Enterprises, Inc., 100%, Terry L. Caudill, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Scott Eaton

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY SCOTT EATON, Lionel, Sawyer, and Collins, appeared representing TERRY CAUDILL, who was also present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, was present.

MR. EATON expressed his appreciation for the professionalism of Business Services staff. It is always a pleasure to do business with MR. DiFIORE and his staff.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 60 - Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Gaming License subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Four Queens, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Elsinore Corporation, PTC, Philip W. Madow, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, Gen Mgr, To: TLC-4Q, Inc., dba Four Queens Hotel & Casino, 202 Fremont Street, Terry L. Caudill, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, TLC Casino Enterprises, Inc., 100%, Terry L. Caudill, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100%

MINUTES – Continued:

He indicated that MR. CAUDILL is already a successful business owner in the City of Las Vegas and is very excited and enthused about making a substantial investment in Downtown Las Vegas.

MR. CAUDILL spoke about how important it was to him to report to the Council on what he intends to do in the downtown area and how he intends to market the business. The downtown market certainly has its challenges, but every market has its unique challenges. But there are a number of positive measures that can be taken in the downtown area, wherein the market is viewed as two primary segments: a value-oriented tourist and locals market. The locals market could be much stronger in the downtown area; however, it is not because of an erroneous perception. Therefore, he intends to have a better campaign of information for the locals. He also intends to seek the cooperation of other downtown markets and market with them rather than against. The key is to have all involved entities pulling in the same, unified direction.

He is also looking forward to working with the Fremont Street Experience, which is a great concept for downtown, and the current City Council because of its strong commitment to downtown revitalization.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that his comments before the Gaming Commission did not go unnoticed. In fact, MR. FESS, who was at those proceedings, relayed the comments to him. MAYOR GOODMAN said that the Council is working very hard to reinvigorate the downtown area and make it successful. On 5/4/02 at 7:00 a.m. the race for the Susan Komen Race For The Cure Foundation will begin. The path for the race will go through all the areas where new projects are expected to be completed in the near future, including the newly acquired property of MR. CAUDILL.

After confirming that MR. CAUDILL is the owner of Hurricane Harry's, COUNCILMAN McDONALD noted that he has many concerns regarding some of the things that are taking place at the site. The issues will be addressed with MR. DiFIORE. MR. CAUDILL indicated that he is aware of some issues, and he has tried to address those as expeditiously and as thoroughly as possible. He stressed that a successful business can sometimes create a lot of traffic, which can often lead to problems. COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that he definitely wished him success.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 60 - Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Gaming License subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Four Queens, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Elsinore Corporation, PTC, Philip W. Madow, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, Gen Mgr, To: TLC-4Q, Inc., dba Four Queens Hotel & Casino, 202 Fremont Street, Terry L. Caudill, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, TLC Casino Enterprises, Inc., 100%, Terry L. Caudill, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100%

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(11:20 – 11:29)

2-1471

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 29 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: P.T.'s Casino Operating Company 2, LLC, dba P.T.'s Pub, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, P.T.'s Slot Casinos, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, To: Golden - PT's Pub East Sahara 3, LLC, dba PT's Slot Casino, 532 East Sahara Ave., Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 29 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Kirk D. Hendrick, Esq.

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother STEVEN MACK owns a SuperPawn shop on the corner of St. Louis and Las Vegas Boulevard, he would be voting as he felt that he could remain impartial and that this business will not have an impact on the SuperPawn.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 61 - Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 29 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: P.T.'s Casino Operating Company 2, LLC, dba P.T.'s Pub, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, P.T.'s Slot Casinos, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, To: Golden - PT's Pub East Sahara 3, LLC, dba PT's Slot Casino, 532 East Sahara Ave., Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY KIRK HENDRICK, Jones-Vargas, and KATHY TISHERMAN, Regulatory Compliance Officer for Golden Gaming, Inc., appeared representing the application.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, concurred with the recommendation for approval on related Items 61 through 66. He requested authorization to allow him to grant the permanent licenses on Items 64, 65, and 66, noting that a representative of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department reported that there is no area of concern on those matters.

ATTORNEY HENDRICK thanked the Council for waiving the appearance of BLAKE SARTINI and RODNEY ATAMIAN. He indicated that related Item 67 involves the restructuring and renaming of the slot route company business. Items 61 through 66 entail the acquisition of six P.T.'s Pub locations, three of which are restricted and the other three non-restrictive. He gave thanks to MR. DiFIORE, EDDIE RAINES, and Business Services staff for all their assistance and cooperation.

There was no further discussion.

(11:29 – 11:36)

2-1820

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: P.T.'s Casino Operating Company 5, LLC, dba P.T.'s Pub, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, P.T.'s Slot Casinos, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, To: Golden - PT's Pub Stewart-Nellis 2, LLC, dba PT's Slot Casino, 347 North Nellis Blvd., Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Kirk D. Hendrick, Esq.

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother STEVEN MACK owns a SuperPawn shop on the corner of St. Louis and Las Vegas Boulevard, he would be voting as he felt that he could remain impartial and that this business will not have an impact on the SuperPawn.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 62 - Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: P.T.'s Casino Operating Company 5, LLC, dba P.T.'s Pub, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, P.T.'s Slot Casinos, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, To: Golden - PT's Pub Stewart-Nellis 2, LLC, dba PT's Slot Casino, 347 North Nellis Blvd., Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY KIRK HENDRICK, Jones-Vargas, and KATHY TISHERMAN, Regulatory Compliance Officer for Golden Gaming, Inc., appeared representing the application.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, was present.

See Item 61 for all related discussion.

(11:29 – 11:36)
2-1820

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: P.T.'s Casino Operating Company 3, LLC, dba P.T.'s Pub, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, P.T.'s Slot Casinos, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, To: Golden - PT's Pub Rancho 4, LLC, dba PT's Slot Casino, 1631 North Rancho Drive, Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Kirk D. Hendrick, Esq.

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY KIRK HENDRICK, Jones-Vargas, and KATHY TISHERMAN, Regulatory Compliance Officer for Golden Gaming, Inc., appeared representing the application.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 63 - Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: P.T.'s Casino Operating Company 3, LLC, dba P.T.'s Pub, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, P.T.'s Slot Casinos, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, To: Golden - PT's Pub Rancho 4, LLC, dba PT's Slot Casino, 1631 North Rancho Drive, Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary

MINUTES - Continued:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, was present.

See Item 61 for all related discussion.

(11:29 – 11:36)

2-1820

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: P.T.'s Pubs Operating Company 2, LLC, dba P.T.'s Pub, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, P.T.'s Slot Casinos, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, To: Golden - PT's Pub West Sahara 8, LLC, dba PT's Pub, 4604 West Sahara Ave., Suite 10, Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Kirk D. Hendrick, Esq.

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY KIRK HENDRICK, Jones-Vargas, and KATHY TISHERMAN, Regulatory Compliance Officer for Golden Gaming, Inc., appeared representing the application.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 64 - Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: P.T.'s Pubs Operating Company 2, LLC, dba P.T.'s Pub, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, P.T.'s Slot Casinos, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, To: Golden - PT's Pub West Sahara 8, LLC, dba PT's Pub, 4604 West Sahara Ave., Suite 10, Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary

MINUTES – Continued:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, was present.

See Item 61 for all related discussion.

(11:29 – 11:36)

2-1820

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: P.T.'s Pubs Operating Company 4, LLC, dba P.T.'s Pub, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, P.T.'s Slot Casinos, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, To: Golden - PT's Pub Rainbow 11, LLC, dba PT's Pub, 739 South Rainbow Blvd., Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Kirk D. Hendrick, Esq.

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY KIRK HENDRICK, Jones-Vargas, and KATHY TISHERMAN, Regulatory Compliance Officer for Golden Gaming, Inc., appeared representing the application.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 65 - Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: P.T.'s Pubs Operating Company 4, LLC, dba P.T.'s Pub, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, P.T.'s Slot Casinos, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, To: Golden - PT's Pub Rainbow 11, LLC, dba PT's Pub, 739 South Rainbow Blvd., Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary

MINUTES – Continued:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, was present.

See Item 61 for all related discussion.

(11:29 – 11:36)

2-1820

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: P.T.'s Pubs Operating Company 7, LLC, dba P.T.'s Pub, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, P.T.'s Slot Casinos, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, To: Golden - PT's Pub Summerlin 6, LLC, dba PT's Pub, 8584 West Lake Mead Blvd., Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Kirk D. Hendrick, Esq.

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY KIRK HENDRICK, Jones-Vargas, and KATHY TISHERMAN, Regulatory Compliance Officer for Golden Gaming, Inc., appeared representing the application.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 66 - Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: P.T.'s Pubs Operating Company 7, LLC, dba P.T.'s Pub, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, P.T.'s Slot Casinos, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Philip K. Boeckle, Mgr, Pres, Thomas T. Boeckle, Mgr, Secy, Treas, Paul D. Campbell, Mgr, To: Golden - PT's Pub Summerlin 6, LLC, dba PT's Pub, 8584 West Lake Mead Blvd., Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary

MINUTES – Continued:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, was present.

See Item 61 for all related discussion.

(11:29 – 11:36)

2-1820

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Approval of Corporate Restructuring and Change of Business Name for a Slot Operator License subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Golden Gaming, Inc., dba Southwest Gaming Services, To: Golden Route Operations, LLC, dba Golden Route Operations, 5110 South Valley View Blvd., Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary - County

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Approval of Corporate Restructuring and Change of Business Name for a Slot Operator License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Kirk D. Hendrick, Esq.

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY KIRK HENDRICK, Jones-Vargas, and KATHY TISHERMAN, Regulatory Compliance Officer for Golden Gaming, Inc., appeared representing the application.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, was present.

See Item 61 for all related discussion.

(11:36 – 11:37)

2-2113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Hai Bin Liu, dba Asian Princess Spa, 2212 Paradise Road, Hai Bin Liu, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be given following discussion of this item at the City Council Meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE for 120 days (8/21/2002) – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother STEVEN MACK owns a SuperPawn in the near vicinity, he would be voting, as he did not feel that this business would impact the SuperPawn or impair his decision.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services

SERGEANT REAGAN ALEXANDER, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

HAI BIN LIU, applicant

PIN WONG, Mandarin Interpreter

(11:36 – 11:37)

2-2113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding revisions to the BLM Leases/Reservations map to reflect revised school and park sites - County and Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In October, 1999, the City Council originally endorsed this map, as a guide for identifying the location of future public facilities, parks, school facilities, etc., on lands owned/managed by the Bureau of Land Management. The map was last revised in April, 2001. This latest revision is being requested to indicate amended park/school sites in the area under consideration for disposal in May, 2003. In addition, two trailheads, one on the corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Puli Road, and the other on the corner of Bradshaw Road and Puli Road, are being added to this map.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Revised BLM Leases/Reservations map

Submitted at the meeting: map titled Jim Marsh Patented Land by Councilman Brown

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED as amended to include the two parcels requested by BROWN – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

SCOTT ALBRIGHT, Planning and Development Department, stated that Items 69 and 70 are related. Item 69 involves the revisions to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Leases/Reservations map. Staff uses this map as a guide in coming up with the bi-annual nominations for disposal by BLM. Staff is working with the developer in the area that is going to be recommended for disposal to identify new school and park sites in conformance with respective future master plans. Staff would like the park in the vicinity of Cheyenne Avenue and the beltway that is currently designated for a school to be re-designated for a park and to reflect the City's future plans. Item 70 entails the actual nomination of the lands for sale by the BLM in the spring of 2003. The City will be nominating approximately 622.5 acres bound by Grand Teton Road to the north; Centennial Parkway to the south; Hualapai Way to the east; and Puli Road to the west.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Planning and Development

Item 69 – Discussion and possible action regarding revisions to the BLM Leases/Reservations map to reflect revised school and park sites

MINUTES – Continued:

He indicated that although staff generally requests small nominations, it feels comfortable with this large nomination because it is designated P-CD (Planned Community Development) and could be sold as a large parcel to enable the development of a master planned community. Such a large nomination of land could result in a number of funds toward the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act that could be used by local governments for parks, trails, and other open-space projects throughout the City.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked MR. ALBRIGHT for his efforts over the past few years and wished him well on his relocation to Malibu, California. He then requested the addition of two parcels adjacent to the S-Curve on Durango to the nomination. COUNCILMAN BROWN read those parcel numbers into the record (125-29-601-013 and 125-29-601-018) and submitted a copy of the map.

There was no further discussion.

(11:44 – 11:50)

2-2473

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on the recommendation of lands to be disposed of by the Bureau of Land Management in the Spring 2003 public sale - County and Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Staff is recommending 20 parcels for disposal at the Spring 2003 land sale. The combined total of these parcels is approximately 795 gross acres in size. The twenty (20) parcels are within the area bound by Grand Teton Road to the north; Centennial Parkway to the south; Hualapai Way to the east; and Puli Road to the west. A majority of these lands are currently located within unincorporated Clark County, although an annexation to the City of Las Vegas is planned prior to the sale date.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. BLM Land Disposal (SNPLMA) - Spring 2002 Nomination Map

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED the recommended 20 parcels as well as the two additional parcels (125-29-601-013 and 125-29-601-018) listed by BROWN – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

SCOTT ALBRIGHT, Planning and Development Department, was present.

See Item 69 for all related discussion.

(11:44 – 11:50)

2-2473

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on an Interlocal Agreement for Review of Projects of Regional Significance as proposed by the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition adopted Policies for Projects of Regional Significance which in turn were ratified by the City Council. There are two recommendations for implementation found within the policy document, one of which is to have an Interlocal Agreement between the Regional Planning Coalition and member jurisdictions. Approval of this Interlocal Agreement will complete that implementation recommendation.

The second recommendation found in the policy document is to "institutionalize" the definition and process for Projects of Regional Significance. This is being accomplished through Bill 2002-55 which was introduced to the City Council at the April 3, 2002 meeting and is a companion item to the Interlocal Agreement.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Interlocal Agreement
2. Policies for Projects of Regional Significance

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

FRANK FIORI, Comprehensive Planning, outlined this matter, as indicated in the above Purpose/Background section.

There was no further discussion.

(11:50 – 11:52)

2-2759

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Report on Wastewater Collection System

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

A Powerpoint presentation for the Mayor and Council on the City of Las Vegas Wastewater Collection System.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

In the interest of time, RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, offered to hold the matter for two weeks.

There was no further discussion.

(11:52 – 11:53)

2-2858

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action to appoint one new Mayor's Organizational Representative to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

At the City Council Meeting of February 20, 2002, it was determined to expand the membership of the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee from 21 to 23 with the addition of two new Mayor's Organizational Representatives. Members will be appointed until the conclusion of all Centennial activities occurs unless otherwise replaced by Council action due to a member's resignation or inability to continue to serve. Membership is not transferable or assignable unless noted and City residency is not required. At the Council Meeting of April 3, 2002, an Organizational Representative was appointed for Nellis Air Force Base. The appointment for an Organizational Representative for the Clark County School District was abeyed to April 17, 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Mayor and Council ratify Mayor Goodman's recommendation for an Organizational Representative for the Clark County School District.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current Listing and Authority – Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee

MOTION:

GOODMAN – Motion to APPOINT SHEILA MOULTON, 6519 American Beauty Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89142– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:53)

2-2903

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEUMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

SENIOR CITIZEN LAW PROJECT ADVISORY BOARD – Judy Johnston - Term Expires 4-18-2002

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Board is comprised of 9 members, filling three-year terms, with at least two members over the age of 60. Appointees must represent specific categories: 2 members of Senior Organizations; 2 Senior Service Providers; 3 Private Citizens; and 2 members of the Nevada State Bar. Judy Johnston filled the Senior Organization category. She has served the maximum number of terms and is therefore not eligible for reappointment.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. It will be necessary to appoint a new member to the Senior Organization category to fill this seat. The appointee's term will expire April 2005.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memorandum from Sheri Cane Vogel recommending appointment of Jackie Seip
2. Current listing and Authority-Senior Citizen Law Project Advisory Board

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPOINT JACKIE SEIP, 5740 S. Eastern Ave., Ste. 240, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

SHERI CANE VOGEL, Senior Citizen Las Project, recommended the appointment of MS. SEIP.

There was no further discussion.

(11:53 – 11:54)
2-2935

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Discussion and possible action to authorize the City Manager to execute a U.S. Government Lease for Real Property with the U.S. General Services Administration for the Federal Building and Post Office located at 301 Stewart Avenue (APN#139-34-501-002) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

As a condition to the conveyance of the deed for the Federal Building and Post Office (the Post Office) from the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) to the City, the GSA will lease back space in the Post Office currently occupied by the U.S. Postal Service, Social Security Adm. and U.S. Tax Court. The term of the lease will end in December 2004 unless the GSA, the tenants and the City mutually agree upon acceptable locations for relocation prior to the end of the lease.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 4/15/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement approved by City Attorney as to form.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda memo
2. Lease Agreement
3. Site map

Submitted after the meeting: copy of comments regarding Items 75 and 76 by Tom McGowan, Las Vegas resident

MOTION:**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Business Development, indicated that staff has been working for about one year with the National Park Services and the General Services Administration (GSA) on this matter. The leases are with the U.S. Postal Service, Social Security Administration, and U.S. Tax Court. Those leases roll off over the course of the next two years.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Real Estate

Item 75 - Discussion and possible action to authorize the City Manager to execute a U.S. Government Lease for Real Property with the U.S. General Services Administration for the Federal Building and Post Office located at 301 Stewart Avenue (APN#139-34-501-002)

MINUTES – Continued:

She stated that DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services, would be speak on the next item on how to backfill the tenants and possibly transition from the current uses to those the Council would like to see in the future.

In addition, the budget workshop included the discussion about how the downtown park and the balance of the property surrounding the Post Office would be developed over time. She recommended approval.

See Item 76 for related discussion.

(11:54 – 11:56)

2-2995

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Discussion and possible action to discuss programming and pre-authorize the Mayor to accept on behalf of the City a Deed, (so long as in substantially the form proposed) from the U.S. General Services Administration to convey ownership of the Federal Building and Post Office to the City of Las Vegas located at 301 Stewart Avenue (APN#139-34-501-002) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Deed from U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) will convey ownership of the Federal Building and Post Office (the Post Office) to the City. Upon execution of the Deed, the City will accept ownership, as well as, the operations and maintenance costs for the Post Office. Through a provision in the Deed, the GSA will lease back space currently occupied by the U.S. Postal Service, Social Security Adm. and U.S. Tax Court. The initial lease rent payments are greater than the actual FY 2001 operations and maintenance costs. The Department of Leisure Services will analyze reuse scenarios and their range of benefits and impacts.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 4/15/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend pre-authorizing the Mayor to accept on behalf of the City an amended Deed, (so long as in substantially the form proposed) from the GSA to convey ownership of the Federal Building and Post Office to the City of Las Vegas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda memo
2. Proposed Deed
3. Post Office programming
4. Site map

MOTION:**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Business Development, was present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Real Estate

Item 76 - Discussion and possible action to discuss programming and pre-authorize the Mayor to accept on behalf of the City a Deed, (so long as in substantially the form proposed) from the U.S. General Services Administration to convey ownership of the Federal Building and Post Office to the City of Las Vegas located at 301 Stewart Avenue (APN#139-34-501-002)

MINUTES – Continued:

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services, commented that according to a previous reuse analysis that was performed by JMA, in accordance with the Post Office project, the Post Office is best suited for two programming components: a museum and a cultural/educational component. With that in mind, Leisure Services was tasked by the City Manager's office to perform preliminary examination of both of those components.

A museum is a very intriguing idea, yet it is a very ambitious and complex effort that should be taken very seriously. It will require substantial community based assessment for both need and desire as well as considerable forethought as far as its purpose, its budget, personnel, how it will be governed, and most important is the collection that will go into the museum. Developing the resources that permit the survival of that collection is going to be very crucial for the City.

She gave an approximate breakdown of a typical museum's revenue: individual – 17 to 20%, corporations – 10%, foundations – 10%, and public sources – 15% percent, civic groups – 4 to 6%, and benefit events – 5%. Other significant revenues is what is called an endowment, which is ideally equal to the value of both the capital cost of building a museum as well as the operating cost. Gift shop sales would provide an additional source of revenue.

In considering the relationships of other cities to museums, they have been as diverse as a full-land lease, such as in Cedar Rapids, Iowa, where they were given a 99-year lease for the museum for a total of \$1. The property on which the Metropolitan Museum in New York sits is owned by New York City, and the museum brings in as much as 20% in revenue through gift shop and catalog sales.

City staff has been approached about relocating the gamblers hall of fame currently located in the Tropicana Hotel as well as placing Neon Museum materials, the Las Vegas News Bureau photos and historical films and artifacts collections. The Cultural Division has done an initial investigation into an educational component based on a space sharing of non-profit organizations. Placement of a Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority (LVCVA) site would constitute a potential revenue source, but would be subject to a cost estimate being provided to the LVCVA. The County's litigation court has expressed an interest in utilizing the tax court currently on the second floor of the building.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Real Estate

Item 76 - Discussion and possible action to discuss programming and pre-authorize the Mayor to accept on behalf of the City a Deed, (so long as in substantially the form proposed) from the U.S. General Services Administration to convey ownership of the Federal Building and Post Office to the City of Las Vegas located at 301 Stewart Avenue (APN#139-34-501-002)

MINUTES – Continued:

Several City departments have an interest in the development of this building. Field Operations would be involved in park and building maintenance. Public Works and the Planning Departments would be involved with architectural services, real estate concerns and related matters.

Leisure Services staff recommends the City utilize planning consultants with specialties in cultural and arts facility planning, arts management and related specific planning issues. Such consultants would also have to be knowledgeable as to preservation, restoration and adaptive use. JMA has done some of the studies to date as to generalized use, but it is now necessary to look further at the specific use. The consultants could also help establish a timeline and outline the benefits and financial impacts of establishing a new museum or relocating existing collections. They could also assist in setting goals, assessing the needs and capacity of potential tenants, examine non-profit versus for-profit groups or a mixture thereof, analysis building adapting and reconfiguration for tenants and design a cohesive, coherent scenario. Staff would hope that working with a consultant would allow staff to bring specific information back to the Council in the Fall.

COUNCILMAN BROWN expressed support for the recommendation and stressed that the potential of the Post Office as a museum must be examined in connection with the other cultural programs and facilities that already exist downtown. DR. JACKSON replied that the expertise of the consultant would be very beneficial in that regard.

MAYOR GOODMAN commented on the rich and fascinating history of Las Vegas. It would be a missed opportunity to not highlight the area's mystique. He directed that the exploration include discussion with DR. WALTMAN from the History Department of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, look at neon artwork and incorporate the underside of Las Vegas' past. COUNCILMAN McDONALD suggested including police memorabilia which is readily and inexpensively available. MAYOR GOODMAN supported that suggestion. DR. JACKSON added that the consultant and their suggestions would be brought before the Council for a presentation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Real Estate

Item 76 - Discussion and possible action to discuss programming and pre-authorize the Mayor to accept on behalf of the City a Deed, (so long as in substantially the form proposed) from the U.S. General Services Administration to convey ownership of the Federal Building and Post Office to the City of Las Vegas located at 301 Stewart Avenue (APN#139-34-501-002)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD instructed that the exploration must contain quantifiable data through some type of market survey. Both the local and tourist audience must be evaluated. MAYOR GOODMAN concurred that the type of collections which would create a draw must be factored into the equation. DR. JACKSON confirmed that such information would be gathered by the consultants as well as the initial dollars involved in the various alternatives.

AL GALLEGGO suggested that the State Museum currently located in Lorenzi Park would be a beautiful addition to the downtown area. MAYOR GOODMAN indicated his preference that the museum stay in Las Vegas.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stressed that he likes the vision and supports bringing history and culture downtown.

MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed with MS. CODER that the deed will be presented to the Council within the next two weeks. DR. JACKSON added that the consultant's contract will be placed on the May 1, 2002 Council agenda.

See Item 75 for related discussion.

(11:56 – 12:13)

2-3094

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Bill No. 2001-115 - Requires certain disclosures in connection with the sale of a residence or residential lot. (Proposed to be amended so as to apply only to the sale of new dwellings) Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill would require a number of disclosures in connection with the sale of a residence or residential lot. Among the items that would have to be disclosed to the buyer are the zoning and land use classifications applicable to the property and surrounding areas, any existing or proposed gaming enterprise districts in the area, existing or proposed assessments, structural defects, and any soils reports regarding the property. Disclosures would have to be documented in writing or by videotape.

FIRST AMENDMENT: At its meeting of March 18, 2002, the Recommending Committee considered a proposal to make this bill applicable only to the sellers of a new dwelling. The First Amendment incorporates that proposal.

RECOMMENDATION:

FORWARDED to Full Council at 4/3/2002 City Council meeting with no recommendation pursuant to the 3/18/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 11/7/2001; First Publication – 3/22/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-115 - First Amendment

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 23 and 77 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Real Estate

Item 77 – Bill No. 2001-115

MINUTES – Continued:

Subsequently, MAYOR GOODMAN explained that in discussions with MR. LUBBERS, they have explored using videotape shown to potential buyers to create a record and thereby providing the protection being sought and avoid future problems. It is possible that such a procedure could be expanded to include both new and used home sales. Notice will be given when the matter is revisited.

(9:36 – 9:37)

1-931

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-43 – Annexation No. A-0011-99(A) – Property location: On the south side of Alexander Road, approximately 965 feet west of Durango Drive; Petitioned by: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 2.70 acres; Zoned: R-E and P-F (County zoning), C-V (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Alexander Road, approximately 965 feet west of Durango Drive. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (April 26, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 4/17/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/1/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 3/20/2002; First Publication – 4/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-43 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5465 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:14 – 12:15)

3-255

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-44 – Annexation No. A-0079-01(A) – Property location: 340 feet east of the Fort Apache Road alignment and 660 feet south of the Elkhorn Road alignment; Petitioned by: The England, LLC; Acreage: 5.08 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (ML-TC) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located 340 feet east of the Fort Apache Road alignment and 660 feet south of the Elkhorn Road alignment. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (April 26, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 4/17/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/1/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 3/20/2002; First Publication – 4/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-44 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5466 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:15)

3-273

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-45 – Annexation No. A-0084-01(A) – Property location: On the southeast corner of Alexander Road and the western beltway; Petitioned by: Summitt Holdings, LLC, et al.; Acreage: 20.95 acres; Zoned: R-U (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Alexander Road and the western beltway. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (April 26, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 4/17/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/1/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 3/20/2002; First Publication – 4/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-45 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as recommended as Ordinance No. 5467 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:15 – 12:16)

3-291

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-46 – Annexation No. A-0085-01(A) – Property location: Southwest of the intersection of Racel Street and Al Carrison Street; Petitioned by: McNamee Family Partnership, et al.; Acreage: 7.54 acres; Zoned: R-A (County zoning), R-A and U (PCD) (City equivalents); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located southwest of the intersection of Racel Street and Al Carrison Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (April 26, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 4/17/2002 City Council meeting as a First Amendment pursuant to the 4/1/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 3/20/2002; First Publication – 4/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-46 First Amendment and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5468 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:16)

3-307

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-53 – Annexation No. A-0001-02(A) – Property location: On the west side of U. S. 95, approximately 660 feet south of Craig Road; Petitioned by: Craig Marketplace, LLC; Acreage: 1.58 acres; Zoned: C-1 and C-P (County zoning), C-1 (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of U. S. 95, approximately 660 feet south of Craig Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (April 26, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 4/17/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/15/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 4/3/2002; First Publication – 4/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-53 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as recommended as Ordinance No. 5469 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:16 – 12:17)

3-322

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-47 – Annexation No. A-0008-01(A) – Property location: On the southwest corner of Decatur Boulevard and Jean Avenue; Petitioned by: Knauss Enterprises; Acreage: 1.01 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Lawrence Weekly

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Decatur Boulevard and Jean Avenue. The annexation is at the request of a previous property owner in consideration of connection to City sewer facilities. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (May 10, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

NOTE: The subject property is developed with a cremation and burial business, which was approved by Clark County in 1995.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/1/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/15/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 4/3/2002; First Publication – 4/19/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

5/1/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-48 – Annexation No. A-0039-01(A) – Property location: On the northeast corner of Ann Road and Rio Vista Street; Petitioned by: Vista Pointe Plaza, LLC; Acreage: 1.01 acres; Zoned: R-E (ROI to C-P) (County zoning), R-E (ROI to P-R) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of Ann Road and Rio Vista Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (May 10, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/1/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/15/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 4/3/2002; First Publication – 4/19/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

5/1/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-49 – Annexation No. A-0051-01(A) – Property location: On the southeast corner of Bronco Street and Tropical Parkway; Petitioned by: Paul and Nola Ann Harber; Acreage: 2.30 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (R) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Bronco Street and Tropical Parkway. The annexation is at the request of the property owners in consideration of connection to City sewer facilities. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (May 10, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/1/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/15/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 4/3/2002; First Publication – 4/19/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

5/1/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-50 – Annexation No. A-0052-01(A) – Property location: On the northeast corner of Bronco Street and Corbett Lane; Petitioned by: William Harber; Acreage: 2.31 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of Bronco Street and Corbett Lane. The annexation is at the request of the property owner in consideration of connection to City sewer facilities. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (May 10, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/1/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/15/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 4/3/2002; First Publication – 4/19/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

5/1/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-51 – Annexation No. A-0066-01(A) – Property location: On the west side of Jones Boulevard, 630 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue; Petitioned by: Timothy and Barbara Williams; Acreage: 0.59 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Jones Boulevard, 630 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owners in consideration of connection to City sewer facilities. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (May 10, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/1/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/15/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 4/3/2002; First Publication – 4/19/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

5/1/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-52 – Annexation No. A-0077-01(A) – Property location: On the south side of Regena Avenue, approximately 150 feet east of El Capitan Way; Petitioned by: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 0.65 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Regena Avenue, approximately 150 feet east of El Capitan Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (May 10, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/1/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/15/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 4/3/2002; First Publication – 4/19/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

5/1/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-54 – Prohibits the storage of dumpsters within street and sidewalk areas.

Sponsored by: Councilman Gary Reese

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City Code currently prohibits garbage receptacles in residential areas from being stored on the sidewalk or in the street. This bill will expand the prohibition to apply to dumpsters located in multifamily, commercial and industrial areas.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/1/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/15/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 4/3/2002; First Publication – 4/19/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

5/1/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-55 – Amends the zoning regulations to include provisions concerning the consideration of “projects of regional significance.” Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Under State law, local governments in Clark County are required to address the impacts of “projects of regional significance,” as that term is defined locally. This bill will reflect the local definition and set forth how such projects are to be evaluated.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/1/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/15/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 4/3/2002; First Publication – 4/19/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

5/1/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-56 – Amends the zoning regulations to allow monorail systems by means of special use permit. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City's zoning regulations currently do not address monorail systems as a land use. This bill will allow such systems by means of special use permit and establish the minimum requirements from a land use perspective.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/1/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/15/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 4/3/2002; First Publication – 4/19/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

5/1/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-57 – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1484 - Alta Drive (Rancho Drive to Valley View Boulevard) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$159,446.82

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of 34-foot wide pavement section, curb, gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential driveways, landscaping, irrigation systems, and entry monumentation.

This ordinance creates a Special Improvement District for a street project and a street beautification project along Alta Drive from Rancho Drive to approximately 275 feet west of Lacy Lane. Total funding for the project includes SID, street rehab, and CLV discretionary funds.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/1/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/15/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 4/3/2002; First Publication – 4/19/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

5/1/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-58 – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1485 - Alta Drive (Landscape Maintenance) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$76,072/yr

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Creates a Special Improvement District to provide funding from the property owners abutting Alta to cover the annual maintenance costs of certain street beautification improvements located along Alta Drive from Rancho Drive to approximately 275 feet west of Lacy Lane. The property owners will be billed in four (4) quarterly installments each year for the actual cost of maintenance based on contractor bids. The maintenance of the street beautification project is funded 100% by the property owners through this SID.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/1/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/15/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 4/3/2002; First Publication – 4/19/2002

NOTE: This item should be withdrawn if the companion item Bill No. 2002-57 creating SID 1484 is not adopted by the City Council.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

5/1/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-59 – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1486 - Rainbow Boulevard Phase II (Rancho Drive to Ann Road) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$439,177.02

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Includes the installation of pavement, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalk, commercial and residential driveway approaches, water laterals, sewer laterals and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 5/1/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 4/15/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 4/3/2002; First Publication – 4/19/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

5/1/2002 Council Agenda

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 1:06, RECONVENED AT 1:11, AND RECESSED AGAIN AT 1:12.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CLOSED SESSION - To Be Held at Conclusion of Morning Session

Upon a duly carried Motion, a closed meeting is called in accordance with NRS 288.220 to discuss the CEA, PPA, and LVPOA contract negotiations

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

To discuss negotiations for the CEA, PPA, and LVPOA contracts

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to go into closed meeting in accordance with NRS 288.220 to discuss the CEA, PPA and LVPOA contract negotiations – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:13 – 12:14)

3-347

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 113 [V-0080-01] to 5/15/2002 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD and BROWN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he was advised that Item 101 was to be abeyed. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, clarified that staff will be moving forward on that item.

There was no further discussion.

(1:38)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Public hearing on local improvement district regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1463 – Bonanza Village Subdivision – Security Wall (\$824,698.56 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$824,698.56☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/SID☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The installation of a security block wall, varying in height from six to eight feet, with emergency access gates. The wall is located along the perimeter of the Bonanza Village Subdivision bounded on the north by Vegas Dr., on the east by Martin L. King Blvd., on the south by Washington Ave., and on the west by Tonopah Dr.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Hearing only; no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DICK GOECKE, Director, Public Works, explained that the Special Improvement District No. 1463 involved 168 property owners. The total project cost amounted to \$1,134,141. Notifications were mailed out to the property owners advising them that their tentative assessments would be approximately \$4,908.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Public Works

Item 96 – Special Improvement District No. 1463 – Bonanza Village Subdivision – Security Wall

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, declared this wall to be an absolute disgrace which goes against every master plan that the City endorses. He mentioned the narrow sidewalks along Martin Luther King used by children and stated that the wall has so many defects that he didn't know where to start.

KARL ARMSTRONG, 1931 Grant Avenue, was pleased with the opportunity to resolve several issues, especially the most recent meeting with COUNCILMAN WEEKLY where he presented a prototype of the wall for the residents to see in terms of a possible abatement or improvement to the existing block wall. He concluded that since no additional expenses would be incurred, the residents were content with the idea of having an aesthetically pleasing structure to replace the existing wall that surrounds their properties. He commended the Council and staff for working out the details that have resulted in an acceptable agreement on several issues.

LAWRENCE ITAK, 1941 Ophir Drive, stated that a wall was constructed in 1967. He showed photographs of that wall and described its overall features, maintaining that at the time of construction, several Nevada Power poles stood adjacent to the wall, so there was no need for the wall to be torn down.

PATRICK STINNET, 1951 Ophir Drive, was satisfied that the wall has been constructed; however, he stated that between the period that he purchased his property and the construction of the wall, an existing gate and fencing were torn down. In addition, 19 full-grown trees were removed, lighting was ripped off, stalls within a horse barn were ripped apart and to date, despite numerous attempts to contact the contractor, nothing has been done to remedy his situation. MAYOR GOODMAN suggested MR. STINNET contact COUNCILMAN WEEKLY'S office to arrange an appointment with the City Attorney's office. MR. STINNET conveyed that a number of residents do not belong to the Homeowner's Association, himself included. He wanted to ensure that there was no misconception that the association in any way represented them. MAYOR GOODMAN reemphasized his suggestion to contact COUNCILMAN WEEKLY'S office.

TERRY COX, 1100 Ralston Drive, thanked COUNCILMAN WEEKLY for his endeavors. He stated that his household is not prepared to pay the assessment, simply because the wall construction is not complete. He expressed that when the wall is completely finished, that would be the appropriate time to begin paying the assessment. He asserted that this would make sense since no timeframe has been given as to a completion date. He also agreed with the previous speaker and stated that the association does not speak on his behalf.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Public Works

Item 96 – Special Improvement District No. 1463 – Bonanza Village Subdivision – Security Wall

MINUTES – Continued:

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village explained that he had a conversation with MR. GOECKE prior to the meeting regarding the aesthetics of the wall, the sidewalks on Washington Avenue, cementing of the cutouts, the exit on Washington Avenue and finally the extension of the hardship criteria for an additional 30 days. MR. CONTRERAS also asked for a timeframe for the start and completion of the improvements. He suggested that the indemnity agreement topic be held.

BEATRICE TURNER, shared that she would soon be moving into Bonanza Village. She also asked about the timeframe for completion of this project. MR. GOECKE offered that Field Operations will be responsible for making the improvements and he estimates that it would take 90 days for completion. MS. TURNER also inquired as to how long the hardship condition would be available to the residents. CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC stated that every homeowner in the Bonanza Village would be provided with a copy of the resolution so they would be informed of their rights to apply for hardship. He acknowledged that if there is a time limit on the hardship every homeowner would be notified.

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC asked MR. GOECKE to state for the record that the wall is completed but that the aesthetic enhancement which is not part of the SID will take 90 days. MR. GOECKE acknowledged that the contractor has completed his contract. It was mentioned that a few property owners did not have their walls tied in and he assured those owners that that would also be taken care of.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY addressing questions raised, explained that the remaining issues will be worked on during the 90-day period in addition to this item being put on the City Council agenda for the May 15, 2002 meeting for further discussion. MR. GOECKE offered that his department would like guidance on improving the aesthetic enhancements so that Field Operations under the guidance of MR. HAUGSNESS can begin that enhancement. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC clarified for COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that he could direct staff to bring this item back to the City Council for a vote.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY confirmed the following list of concerns for the record to MR. GOECKE; gaps in the wall, sidewalks on Washington Avenue, cut-outs and cement slopes to prevent them from becoming trash bays, modifying the aesthetics of the wall, enhancing the looking, dealing with the public right-of-way and the bus stop issues on Vegas Drive, modification of visibility off of Comstock traveling east and west onto Washington Avenue, the wall attachment issues and also to address the issues covered by MR. STINNET and finally the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002

Public Works

Item 96 – Special Improvement District No. 1463 – Bonanza Village Subdivision – Security Wall

MINUTES – Continued:

indemnity agreement letter to each of the residents. MR. GOECKE offered that at the next City Council meeting, his department would put that list on the agenda for official City Council action and then follow up with a second meeting to put on the disposal of the SID.

TOM McGOWAN, citizen of Las Vegas, asked about the bus transportation in that area being available to handicapped or wheel-chair bound passengers. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY expressed that he did not know one way or the other what JACOB SNOW'S response would be regarding these issues.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed MR. GOECKE to proceed with compiling the list to present to the City Council at the May 1, 2002 meeting for official action and then to follow up with the disposal of the SID at the May 15th City Council meeting.

(1:38 – 2:08)

4-45

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 304 Hinkle Street. PROPERTY OWNER: SINTAWAI AND TASANALAI SIHARATH - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,966.40☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K. O. Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by securing, cleaning and boarding the vacant building; securing the side yard gate and shed door; removing trash, debris, and high/dead vegetation; removing graffiti from the building; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,966.40 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with L. B. McDONALD not voting

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 97 – 304 Hinkle Street

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property and stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The property was declared in violation, and the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem. The property was corrected by securing, cleaning and boarding the vacant building; securing the side yard gate and shed door; removing trash, debris, and high vegetation. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,966.40 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked whether there was a letter from the property owner declaring what his intentions are regarding this property. MR. SEMENZA was unable to provide any concrete information. MR. FARLOW stated that he would like to see houses like this one rehabilitated and put back in circulation. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY replied that the procedures have yet to be put in place. Once this has been accomplished some of the homes may be placed back into circulation in a rehab state, demolished, or if there is a mortgage, the mortgage company will turn it over quickly as they gain possession of it.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed MR. SEMENZA to cut back the bushes fronting the windows and the trees that are encroaching the power lines.

(2:08 – 2:11)

4-992

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of nuisance/litter located at 1368 Pyramid Drive. PROPERTY OWNER: WILLIE B. JAQUESS (SHIRLEY AYO, LEGAL GUARDIAN) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$1,950.40

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Response

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K. O. Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by removing all inoperable vehicles, commercial vehicles, and vehicles not on an approved surface; removing all outside storage including wood, trash, debris, and miscellaneous items; removing the lattice work from the front of the house, and the blue awning from the side fence; and by removing all weeds and cutting the grass to eight inches or less.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,950.40 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Submitted after agenda: Faxed Letters of Protest from Shirley Ayo dated 4/16/02 regarding abatement and report of expenses
9. Video shown but not submitted

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 98 – 1368 Pyramid Drive

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property and stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The property was declared in violation, and the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem. The property was corrected by removing all inoperable vehicles, and vehicles not on an approved surface; removing all outside storage including wood, trash, debris, and miscellaneous items; removing the lattice work from the front of the house, and the blue awning from the side fence and by removing all weeds and cutting the grass to eight inches or less. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,950.40 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

MR. SEMENZA stated that this property has been a problem since 1998. Separate hearings with SHIRLEY AYO and the City Attorney, were held where MS. AYO promised to have the property cleaned; however, in 2000, MS. AYO appealed to the City Council where it was denied. Finally, the City hired a private contractor to abate the problem. In conclusion, MR. SEMENZA related that there were at least 156 actions by Code Enforcement, the City Attorney's Office, the Courts, the City Marshals and by Metro before the final action was taken to call in a private contractor.

MS. AYO appeared in response to the letter sent from Neighborhood Services. She argued that prior to the vehicles being removed she was never requested to start them up. After the vehicles and other articles were removed she stated that she had no other legal recourse to take. MS. AYO rebutted several of the statements made by MR. SEMENZA.

In its efforts to resolve this nuisance, COUNCILMAN MACK stated that the process that staff had taken most probably cost several thousands of dollars.

MS. AYO mentioned that she has discovered several discrepancies listed in the letters or as stated by MR. SEMENZA.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 98 – 1368 Pyramid Drive

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, voiced his approval in favor of staff's recommendation commenting that this would be the perfect time to enforce the City codes.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:11 – 2:20)
4-1131

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

NO ITEMS

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

- WAIVER - PUBLIC HEARING
- 99 **WVR-0001-02** - James E. and Henedine C. Smith
- REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING
- 100 **ABEYANCE ITEM - VAC-0003-00(2)** - W.M. Land Development
- 101 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0108-01(1)** - Enterprise Leasing Company West
- 102 **Z-0057-01(1)** - Owens Star, Limited Liability Company
- 103 **Z-0016-01(2)** - City of Las Vegas
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
- 104 **ABEYANCE ITEM - SD-0079-01** - Fort Lewis Development on behalf of James C. Smith
- 105 **SD-0004-02** - City of Las Vegas
- VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING
- 106 **VAC-0007-02** - Antonio Fusco/Romolo Ramo Fusco Family Trust, et al
- 107 **VAC-0008-02** - Matonovich Family Trust, et al
- 108 **VAC-0009-02** - City of Las Vegas
- 109 **VAC-0010-02** - Jeffrey and Anne Kinner, et al
- 110 **VAC-0011-02** - Foghorn Properties, Limited Liability Company, et al
- 111 **VAC-0012-02** - Babb Investment Company
- 112 **VAC-0013-02** - Valley Health System, Limited Liability Company
- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 113 **V-0080-01** - Pasquale Laurito
- 114 **V-0006-02** - The Housing Corporation
- 115 **V-0010-02** - Johnson Family Trust
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0010-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 116 **SD-0006-02** - Johnson Family Trust

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of April 17, 2002

- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 117 **U-0004-02** - Misha Enterprises, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Leonardo McGarvie
- 118 **U-0009-02** - Silver State Holding Company, et al
- REZONING RELATED TO U-0009-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 119 **Z-0010-02** - Silver State Holding Company, et al
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0009-02 AND Z-0010-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 120 **Z-0010-02(1)** - Silver State Holding Company, et al
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 121 **Z-0092-01** - Episcopal Diocese of Nevada
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0092-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 122 **Z-0092-01(1)** - Episcopal Diocese of Nevada
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 123 **Z-0007-02** - A & A Mountanos 1999 Revocable Living Trust
- 124 **Z-0008-02** - Spring Mountain Ranch, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Richmond American Homes
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0008-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 125 **Z-0008-02(1)** - Spring Mountain Ranch, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Richmond American Homes
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 126 **Z-0013-02** - 11th Street Limited Partnership, et al on behalf of Community Development program Center of Nevada
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0013-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 127 **Z-0013-02(1)** - 11th Street Limited Partnership, et al on behalf of Community Development Program Center of Nevada

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of April 17, 2002

- 128 VACATION RELATED TO Z-0013-02 AND Z-0013-02(1) - PUBLIC HEARING
VAC-0014-02 - 11th Street Limited Partnership, et al
- 129 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0059-01 - Telos Enterprises, Incorporated, et al
- 130 REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0059-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0107-01 - Telos Enterprises, Incorporated, et al
- 131 VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-0059-01 AND Z-0107-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0101-01 - Telos Enterprises, Incorporated, et al
- 132 SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0059-01, Z-0107-01 AND V-0101-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0107-01(1) - Telos Enterprises, Incorporated, et al
- 133 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
GPA-0045-00 - Las Vegas Masonic Temple Association
- 134 REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0045-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
Z-0011-02 - Lodge Masonic Memorial Temple on behalf of Ad America
- 135 SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-0045-00 AND Z-0011-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
U-0010-02 - Lodge Masonic Memorial Temple on behalf of Ad America

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

WAIVER - PUBLIC HEARING - **WVR-0001-02 - JAMES E. AND HENEDINE C. SMITH**
- Request for a Waiver OF THE REQUIRED 660-FOOT SEPARATION BETWEEN GROUP RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITIES TO ALLOW A FACILITY at 3016 East St. Louis Avenue (existing facilities are located at 1821 Silver Birch Lane and 3036 Holly Hill Avenue) (APN: 162-01-810-012), R-1 (Single-Family Residential) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE to 5/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS with L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JAMES and HENEDINE SMITH, the applicants, appeared to answer any questions. COUNCILMAN REESE requested this item be held in abeyance for two weeks in order to meet with MR. and MRS. SMITH. He was especially concerned about a request for a waiver for group homes that requires 660-foot separation between group residential care facilities. MR. SMITH quoted a section of the law and pointed out on the overhead the location of his facility in proximity to two other care facilities.

COUNCILMAN REESE emphasized that the purpose for having a distance requirement is to protect streets, communities, areas, and residences from an influx of group homes. MRS. SMITH agreed to the abeyance.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 99 – WVR-0001-02

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:20 – 2:24)

4-1439

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-0003-00(2)**
- **W.M. LAND DEVELOPMENT** - Request for a Review of Condition No. 1 of an approved Vacation (VAC-0003-00) TO ELIMINATE THE REQUIREMENT FOR COMPLIANCE WITH A CONDITION OF AN APPROVED TENTATIVE MAP (AURORA VIEW ESTATES), for Del Rey Avenue generally located between Buffalo Drive and Tioga Way, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – ABEYANCE TO 5/15/2002 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked to abey this item at the request of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:24 – 2:25)

4-1592

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0108-01(1)** - **ENTERPRISE LEASING COMPANY WEST** - Request for a Review of Condition No. 8 of an Approved Special Use Permit (U-0108-01) TO ALLOW ONE 30-FOOT TALL FREESTANDING SIGN WHERE ONE 15-FOOT TALL FREESTANDING SIGN IS THE MAXIMUM ALLOWED BY CONDITION at 4840 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 138-36-804-006), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 1 as follows:

1. Subject to conformance with the design contained in the renderings submitted at the City Council hearing on April 17, 2002, the proposed freestanding sign shall be allowed with a maximum height limit of 30 feet.

– **UNANIMOUS** with **L. B. McDONALD** not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CAROLINE JOHANNSEN representing Enterprise Leasing Company, 2700 Chandler Avenue, explained that this request is to allow for a 30-foot tall freestanding sign. Having met with **COUNCILMAN McDONALD**, a mutual agreement was reached on an aesthetically pleasing sign, which was shown on the overhead.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 101 – U-0108-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD pointed out that the City would like to do away with many of the existing pole signs. In this particular instance the sign was reworked to conform to the standard concept that the City prefers. COUNCILMAN McDONALD thanked MS. JOHANNSEN for agreeing to the abeyance and for working with staff to arrive at an agreeable solution.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas citizen, agreed with COUNCILMAN McDONALD and referred to the revised sign as quite attractive. He questioned how the code relates to aesthetics when he was under the impression that it actually addressed height.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that in this instance they tried to tie into what was in existence and bring up to code any new businesses that came in. COUNCILMAN MACK responded that he believes the urban areas are more sensitive in relationship to height simply because of the competitive aspect. He commended COUNCILMAN McDONALD for working on bringing the height down and for encouraging more aesthetically pleasing signs.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, agreed with the comments of COUNCILMAN MACK and complimented the sign as proposed.

PAUL DIAMOND, 2108 Frontier Avenue, also agreed that the sign is beautiful in comparison to pole signs that he considered ugly and outdated. He questioned why this particular sign had to be 30-feet in height since it is a single business. He believed that it would accomplish the same job if the sign were placed at the 15-foot height.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:25 – 2:34)

4-1640

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Condition Number 8 is hereby amended to read:

The existing pole supports for signage shall be removed. Freestanding signage shall be limited to one sign with a maximum height of 25 feet.

2. Conformance to all remaining conditions of approval of Special Use Permit (U-0108-01) as required by the City of Las Vegas.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REVIEW OF CONDITION - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
 - **Z-0057-01(1) - OWENS STAR, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Appeal filed by GC Garcia of Condition # 3 on a request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the amount of Required Perimeter Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 27,400 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL CENTER on 3.13 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Owens Avenue and Sandhill Road (APN: 140-30-102-006), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone under Resolution of Intent to N-S (Neighborhood Service), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) APPROVED. Staff has NO OBJECTION

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

37
10

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) APPROVED. Staff has NO OBJECTION.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by GC Garcia

MOTION:

REESE- APPROVED subject to conditions, deleting Condition No. 2 and adding the following condition:

- *The parking will be reviewed one year from the date of issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy.*

– **UNANIMOUS** with **L. B. McDONALD** not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MAYOR GOODMAN for the record clarified that this item was for discussion relative to Condition No. 2 although the agenda reflected Condition No. 3.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 102 – Z-0057-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

JOHN KOSWAN, Planning and Development, verified that the original condition presented to the Planning Commission addressed the parking requirement. When the results of the Planning Commission decision was sent to the applicant, one of the conditions was deleted therefore shifting the other conditions numerically. In the interim when the applicant appealed the Planning Commission decision, the original Condition No. 3 was referenced and therefore placed on this agenda when in fact it should have reflected Condition No. 2 as stated in the letter to the applicant.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN if the notification requirements were met. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY GREEN replied that his review revealed that under the circumstances it would be agreeable to have the application go forward.

GEORGE GARCIA, 2501 Green Valley Parkway, Suite 108, represented the applicant. MR. GARCIA explained that he has worked consistently with staff and presented the revised site plan. He commented that it is much better than the original site development plan that was proposed. He addressed the parking requirement saying he felt it was an unreasonable stipulation. He asked supporters in the audience to stand and be counted. MAYOR GOODMAN counted ten residents present, including three children.

COUNCILMAN REESE pointed out that the proposed center will be located within walking distance from residences; therefore, he moved to approve the application waiving Condition No. 2 and adding a condition that the parking will be reviewed one year from the date of the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy. At that time, if any problems arise, additional parking may be required.

MR. GARCIA agreed

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, mentioned that he has followed this application since its inception and believes the parking condition should be upheld.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:34 – 2:40)

4-1995

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 102 – Z-0057-01(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Any use that has a greater parking requirement under Title 19A than 1 space per 250 square feet is prohibited on this site. Such uses include, but are not limited to, the following: religious facilities, banks, medical or dental offices, medical or veterinarian clinics, a barber or beauty salon, taverns and restaurants.
3. The landscape plan shall be revised prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit to reflect a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each 24-inch box tree within provided planters. Minimum 24-inch box trees shall be placed in planters that comply with the Urban Design Guidelines and Standards at a ratio of one tree for every six parking spaces. This requirement is in addition to all other required landscaping trees.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
6. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
8. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
9. Mster Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 102 – Z-0057-01(1)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
14. Site development to comply with all previous conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0057-01, on this same agenda, and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0016-01(2) - CITY OF LAS VEGAS
- Request for a Review of Condition #3 on an approved Site Development Plan Review [Z-0016-01(1)] WHICH PROHIBITED A DRIVEWAY ACCESS OFF GILMORE AVENUE in conjunction with a 10,738 square foot Fire Station on approximately 5.17 acres located on the northwest corner of Fort Apache Road and Gilmore Avenue (APN: 138-07-501-014), R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to C-V (Civic), Ward 4 (Brown). Staff has NO RECOMMENDATION

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff has NO RECOMMENDATION.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

SAM TOLMAN, City of Las Vegas Architectural Engineer, explained that at a previous meeting of the City Council, discussion focused on an appropriate driveway for the proposed fire station located on Fort Apache Road and Gilmore Avenue. He stated that he had met with the City Engineer and to eliminate any hazardous conditions to the neighborhood, the driveway was shifted to another location.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 103 – Z-0016-01(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

NEAL DEXTER, 3800 Diamond Ridge, explained that he along with his neighbors, attended several of the meetings related to this application. He spoke of the traffic that is generated from the adjacent schools. In an effort to complete this project, he felt that what had previously been presented to the residents by the City has now been altered.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that relative to the issue regarding Gilmore Avenue, he knows that there is always a fireman who exits the truck to ensure there are no pedestrians around and safely guides the truck into the station. He couldn't see how the elementary schools would be affected.

JILL DUCHARME BECKER, 3735 North Fort Apache Road, stated her concern regarding the elementary schools adjacent to this property. MR. TOLMAN expressed that his previous statement was relative to the traffic flow out of the fire station. COUNCILMAN BROWN addressing MS. DUCHARME BECKER stated that the City would work with her regarding the paving. He also mentioned that the original condition was to locate all of the exits on Fort Apache; however, based on the decision made by the Traffic Engineer, it was determined unfeasible from a safety standpoint. Therefore, moving one egress back to Gilmore Avenue was to provide egress and ingress for employee traffic and returning emergency vehicle traffic but it would not be for main response traffic coming out of the station. COUNCILMAN BROWN reemphasized that safety has been the main issue with this project.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:40 – 2:50)

4-2265

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Condition Number 3 is hereby deleted.
2. Conformance to all remaining conditions of approval of the Site Development Plan Review [Z-0016-01(1)] as required by the City of Las Vegas.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - SD-0079-01 - FORT LEWIS DEVELOPMENT ON BEHALF OF JAMES C. SMITH - Appeal filed by James C. Smith from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the Amount of Required Parking Lot Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 4,050 SQUARE-FOOT USED MOTOR VEHICLE DEALERSHIP on 1.36 Acres, located adjacent to the northeast corner of Cheyenne Avenue and JoAnn Way (APN: 138-12-801-016), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 4 as follows:

4. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 5 foot wide landscape islands throughout the entire parking area, *excluding the auto storage area north of the sales office*, at a ratio of one landscape island for every six parking spaces that conform to the requirements of Section 19A.12.040 of the Las Vegas Zoning Code. *In addition, all of the trees adjacent to Cheyenne Avenue shall be palm trees.*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and BROWN and L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 104 – SD-0079-01

MINUTES – Continued:

RICHARD MORENO, 300 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He thanked COUNCILMAN MACK, his staff and the Planning Department staff for their assistance.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked for the reason this item had been held in abeyance.

MR. MORENO clarified that prior to his firm's involvement with this application, when it was scheduled to be presented to the Planning Commission, there had been no one to represent the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:50 – 2:54)

4-2741

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Site Development Plan Review shall be for no more than two years from the date of City Council approval, unless an extension of time is approved.
2. No test drives shall be conducted on JoAnn Way or other residential streets in the vicinity of this request.
3. No vehicles shall be stored or displayed in any landscaped area.
4. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 5 foot wide landscape islands throughout the entire parking area at a ratio of one landscape island for every six parking spaces that conform to the requirements of Section 19A.12.040 of the Las Vegas Zoning Code.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 104 – SD-0079-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. The elevations shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, with additional architectural features to enhance façade articulation including horizontal and vertical banding, contrasting materials such as stucco and brick, varied rooflines, and contrasting colors.
6. The installation and use of an outside public address or bell system is prohibited.
7. No used or discarded parts shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
8. Exterior lighting shall be screened or otherwise designed so as to not shine directly on any adjacent parcel.
9. All repair service shall be performed inside and enclosed area.
10. There shall be no temporary on-premise signage (pennants, banners or flags) associated with the automobile dealers.
11. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
12. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
13. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
14. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
15. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 104 – SD-0079-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

16. Any utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
17. The applicant shall have constructed an eight-foot tall decorative block wall abutting the auto storage area, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
18. City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
19. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

Public Works

20. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements (sidewalk) on JoAnn Way adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
21. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
22. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. The proposed driveway accessing Cheyenne Avenue shall also receive approval from the Nevada Department of Transportation.
23. All landscaping installed with this project shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 104 – SD-0079-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

24. Comply with the previously approved Traffic Impact Analysis or submit an update to the previously approved Traffic Impact Analysis to be approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis update prior to occupancy of the site. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
25. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer. We note that this site is within a FEMA “A” Flood Zone and may need to be modified to accommodate a 70 foot wide drainage easement along the western property line of this site.
26. Submit an application for an Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements in the Cheyenne Avenue public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0004-02 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 60-FOOT TALL CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS MONOPOLE TOWER located on a 2.99 acre site at 6208 Hargrove Avenue (APN: 139-35-501-011), C-V (Civic), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.**

1

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.**

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and L. B. McDONALD and BROWN not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS WERNER, Spectrum Engineering, 7351 West Charleston, represented Verizon Wireless, and concurred with staff's recommendations.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked if the applicant had a rendering of the monopole tower. MR. WERNER pointed a simulation of a slim light pole with antennas flushed to the towers. It blends in with the existing power and light poles and is designed for co-location.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 105 – SD-0004-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:54 – 2:56)

4-2927

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The monopole design shall be “slim-line”, with flush mounted arrays, as depicted in the submitted elevations.
3. The communications monopole and its associated equipment and facility shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the communications monopole and its associated equipment and facility.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0007-02 - ANTONIO FUSCO/ROMOLO RAMO FUSCO FAMILY TRUST, ET AL - Petition to Vacate U.S. Government Patent Reservations generally located south of Alexander Road, east of El Capitan Way, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and BROWN and L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSEL SKUSE, Tetra Tech, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:56 – 2:57)

4-3036

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 106 – VAC-0007-02

CONDITIONS:

1. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
2. Development of this site shall comply with all applicable conditions of approval for General Plan Amendment (GPA-0057-01), Rezoning (Z-0103-01), Variance (V-0098-01), Site Development Plan Review [Z-0103-01(1)] and all other subsequent site-related actions.
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
4. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #1 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
5. If the Order of Relinquishment of Interest is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0008-02 - MATONOVICH FAMILY TRUST, ET AL - Petition to Vacate U.S. Government Patent Reservations generally located south of Alexander Road, 682 feet west of El Capitan Way, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and BROWN and L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech Engineering, represented this application and concurred with all conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, related that at the Planning Commission meeting, an adjacent property owner alluded to a concern about drainage. MR. SKUSE affirmed that those drainage issues have all been addressed in the drainage study.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 107 – VAC-0008-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:57 – 2:58)

4-715

CONDITIONS:

1. This Order of Relinquishment of Interest Application shall not apply to any part of the Campbell Road alignment.
2. Retain 20 foot wide public sewer easements within each of the areas to be vacated unless an alternative plan to provide public sewer access to the parcel immediately south of this site is submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to recordation of a Relinquishment of Interest.
3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of a Relinquishment of Interest.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
5. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #3 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
6. If the Order of Relinquishment of Interest is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0009-02 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS - Petition to Vacate U.S. Government Patent Reservations and a BLM drainage easement generally located south of Alexander Road, east of Cimarron Road, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK– APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and BROWN and L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech Engineering, concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:58 – 2:59)

4-3172

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 108 – VAC-0009-02

CONDITIONS:

1. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
2. Development of these sites shall comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the Z-0073-01 Rezoning Application and all subsequent site-related actions.
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments
4. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #1 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
5. If the Order of Relinquishment of Interest is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0010-02 - JEFFREY AND ANNE KINNER, ET AL - Petition to Vacate U.S. Government Patent Reservations, a BLM drainage easement, and a portion of Constantinople Avenue generally located south of Alexander Road, 682 feet west of Buffalo Drive, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.**

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.**

2

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK– APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and BROWN and L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech Engineering, representing this application concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:59 – 3:00)

4-3210

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 109 – VAC-0010-02

CONDITIONS:

1. Provide a plan for approval by the Department of Public Works showing how Constantinople Avenue to the west of the area contemplated by this vacation application shall be terminated in a manner acceptable to the Department of Public Works prior to recordation of an Order of Vacation for this site. Dedicate or vacate all additional right-of-way needed to terminate Constantinople Avenue prior to recordation of the Order of Vacation. Construct any necessary improvements for the termination of Constantinople Avenue concurrent with development in accordance with Z-0102-01(1).
2. Retain a 20 foot City of Las Vegas Sewer Easement for existing sewer line in Constantinople Avenue, unless an alternative public sewer service plan is submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to recordation of the Order of Vacation for this site.
3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation and Order of Relinquishment.
4. Development of these sites shall comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-0102-01, Z-0102-01(1) and all subsequent site-related actions.
5. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
6. The Order of Vacation and Order of Relinquishment shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition # 3 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 109 – VAC-0010-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. If the Order of Vacation or the Order of Relinquishment of Interest is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0011-02 - FOGHORN PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL - Petition to Vacate a 25-foot wide Public Multi-Use Equestrian Trail Easement generally located north of Grand Teton Road, west of Durango Drive, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0-3 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-3 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and BROWN and L. B. McDONALD not voting

NOTE: Conditions 1, 3, and 4 were corrected by the Director of Planning and Development to change all references to “Order of Relinquishment of Interest” to “*Order of Vacation*”.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with all conditions.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department, provided clarification on Condition Nos. 1, 3, and 4. All references to “Order of Relinquishment of Interest” should be changed to “Order of Vacation”. CITY CLERK RONI RONEMUS determined that it was not necessary to rescind the original vote and that was agreed upon by DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 110 – VAC-0011-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:00 – 3:01)

4-3260

CONDITIONS:

1. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation.
2. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
3. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #1 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
4. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0012-02 - BABB INVESTMENT COMPANY -
Petition to Vacate a U.S. Government Patent Reservation generally located south of Gowan Road,
1,300 feet east of Hualapai Way, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff
recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused
and BROWN and L. B. McDONALD not voting**

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared to represent this application.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:01)

4-3301

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 111 – VAC-0012-02

CONDITIONS:

1. This application shall be amended to retain a 20 foot wide City of Las Vegas Sewer Easement for existing 8 inch public sewer line within this alignment.
2. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
4. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #2 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
5. If the Order of Relinquishment of Interest is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0013-02 - VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEM, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Petition to vacate Kingsbury Lane, generally located south of Pinto Lane, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and BROWN and L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

PRESTON HOWARD, 325 South Maryland Parkway, represented the Valley Health System, LLC, concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:01)

4-3342

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 112 – VAC-0013-02

CONDITIONS:

1. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. All existing public streetlights shall be removed and returned to the City yard.
2. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
4. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #1 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0080-01 - PASQUALE LAURITO - Appeal filed by Vision Sign, Inc. from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Variance TO ALLOW AN ANIMATED MONUMENT SIGN WHERE SUCH SIGN IS PROHIBITED on property located at 2325 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 162-05-511-014), P-R (Professional Office and Parking) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by Vision Sign Inc.
5. Submitted after the Final Agenda: Letters of Protest (3)
6. Submitted at the meeting: Request for abeyance from Vision Sign Inc.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 113 [V-0080-01] to 5/15/2002 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD and BROWN not voting

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:38)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0006-02 - THE HOUSING CORPORATION - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 80 PARKING SPACES WHERE 87 PARKING SPACES IS THE MINIMUM AMOUNT REQUIRED FOR A PROPOSED 40-UNIT APARTMENT COMPLEX on a 1.46 acre site adjacent to the south side of Hinkle Drive, between Twenty-Second Street and Twenty-Third Street (APN: 139-26-507-001 and 002), R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MARK RUIS, 601 South 6th Street, with the Housing Corporation, concurred with all staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:27 – 1:33)

4-715

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 114 – V-0006-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments, which are not affected by approval of this Variance, must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0010-02 - JOHNSON FAMILY TRUST - - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 100 PARKING SPACES WHERE 137 PARKING SPACES IS THE MINIMUM AMOUNT REQUIRED FOR A PROPOSED DRUG STORE/PHARMACY AND EXISTING RETAIL BUILDING on property located adjacent to the southeast corner of Lake Mead Boulevard and Martin L. King Boulevard (APN: 139-21-701-001, 002 and 004), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after the Final Agenda: Letters of Protest (2) and Letters of Support (2)

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

GREG BORGEL, 300 South 4th Street, appearing on behalf of CVS Pharmacies concurred with staff's recommendations. He noted that this parcel was difficult to design. The CVS Pharmacy is replacing an existing business and will repave the parking lot as well as enhance the existing landscape. Because of the existing use, the applicant has made several dedications. He also mentioned that Public Works had reviewed the design and accepted it for the eventual development. The bus turnout will also be dedicated and if and when the City does develop that, it could result in the reduction of the landscaping there.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked the applicant if there is a drive-thru. MR. BORGEL confirmed that this particular property will indeed have a drive-thru.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 115 – V-0010-02

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY expressed the excitement of the community generated by the development of this property.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 116 [SD-0006-02] for related discussion.

(3:04 – 3:10)

4-3522

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review [SD-0006-02].
2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0010-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - SD-0006-02 - JOHNSON FAMILY TRUST - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 13,919 SQUARE-FOOT DRUG STORE/PHARMACY; AND A REDUCTION IN THE AMOUNT OF REQUIRED PERIMETER AND PARKING LOT LANDSCAPING on property located adjacent to the southeast corner of Lake Mead Boulevard and Martin L. King Boulevard (APN: 139-21-701-002 and 004), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and Amending Condition No. 17 as follows:

17. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the *issuance of any permits for this site or submittal of any construction drawings.*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

GREG BORGEL, 300 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works, asked for a modification of Condition No. 17 and read the amendments into the record. MR. BORGEL concurred with the modification.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 116 – SD-0006-02

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

On another note MAYOR PRO TEM REESE asked MR. BORGEL to get back with him regarding Washington Boulevard and Lamb Boulevard. MR. BORGEL replied that he already had a report noting that the trailer and pallets are gone and in addition, the landscapers are on the project determining the location of the trees.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 115 [V-0010-02] for related discussion.

(3:04 – 3:10)

4-3522

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
4. Conformance to the submitted landscape plan.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 116 – SD-0006-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
8. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
9. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
11. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 116 – SD-0006-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

14. Remove all substandard street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
15. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
17. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 116 – SD-0006-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

18. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements in the Martin L. King Boulevard and Lake Mead Boulevard public rights-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.
19. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Martin L. King Boulevard and Lake Mead Boulevard adjacent to this site.
20. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-18-00 and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0004-02 - MISHA ENTERPRISES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF LEONARDO McGARVIE - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF BEER AND WINE FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED FOOD STORE (LA MICHOACANA MARKET) at 1432 North Eastern Avenue (APN: 139-25-101-019), C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and the Added condition as follows:

- *This Special Use Permit shall be subject to a one-year review.*

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE EDDER, 777 Quartz Avenue, represented the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions. He explained that the market has been in existence for approximately five years. MR. McGARVIE, the applicant, sent out letters to the residents informing them of his intent to request a special use permit. Of the 463 letters there were no negative responses.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, recalled that the Planning Commission requested a one year review and he felt this would be appropriate.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 117 – U-0004-02

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(3:10 – 3:12)
5-114

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. The sale of alcoholic beverages shall be limited to the sale of beer and wine only.
3. The sale of individual containers of any size of beer, wine coolers or screw cap wine is prohibited. All such products shall remain in their original configurations as shipped by the manufacturer. Further, no repackaging of containers into groups smaller than the original shipping container size shall be permitted.
4. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0009-02 - SILVER STATE HOLDING COMPANY, ET AL - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A GATED COMMUNITY WITH PRIVATE STREETS on the northwest corner of Fort Apache Road and Farm Road (APN: 125-18-601-009, 010, 011), U (Undeveloped) Zone [T-C (Town Center) General Plan Designation] and U (Undeveloped) under Resolution of Intent to TC (Town Center) [PROPOSED T-C (Town Center)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech Engineering, concurred with all of staff's recommendations.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that this development would have medium density on both sides.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 118 – U-0009-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 118 [U-0009-02], Item 119 [Z-0010-02] and Item 120 [Z-0010-02(1)] was held under Item 118 [U-0009-02].

(3:12 – 3:14)

5-170

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The private streets shall have a minimum width of thirty-seven feet from back-of-curb to back-of-curb. Private streets with rolled curbs shall be a minimum width of thirty-nine feet.
3. The proposed private streets shall meet the minimum construction standards for public streets.
4. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0010-02) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0010-02(1)].
5. The street name signs for private streets shall bear the words “privately maintained,” and shall be a color and design established by the City and in conformance with the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices. The color of such a sign must differ distinctively from that used in connection with public streets.
6. The Tentative Map application for the proposed residential subdivision on this site shall demonstrate compliance with all provisions of the Las Vegas Municipal Code applicable to private streets, including the provision of a separate lot for private streets.
7. All setbacks shall be measured from back of sidewalk if one exists, back of curb if no sidewalk is present.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 118 – U-0009-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

9. Gated entry drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
10. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
11. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
12. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0010-02 and Site Development Plan Review Z-0010-02(1), on this same agenda, and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO U-0009-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0010-02 - SILVER STATE HOLDING COMPANY, ET AL** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [T-C (Town Center) General Plan Designation] and U (Undeveloped) under Resolution of Intent to TC (Town Center) TO: T-C (Town Center) of 15.29 acres located adjacent to the northwest corner of Farm Road and Fort Apache Road (APN: 125-18-601-009 through 011), PROPOSED USE: 120-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech Engineering, represented this application.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – Z-0010-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 118 [U-0009-02], Item 119 [Z-0010-02] and Item 120 [Z-0010-02(1)] was held under Item 118 [U-0009-02].

(3:12 – 3:14)

5-170

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 50 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Fort Apache Road, 40 feet for Farm Road and a 54 foot radius on the northeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Farm Road.
4. Construct half-street improvements on Farm Road and Fort Apache Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. Farm Road and Fort Apache Road shall be constructed to comply with Town Center Arterial and Town Center Primary Arterial standards respectively.
5. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to this site prior to occupancy of any units within this development.
6. A Master Streetlight plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – Z-0010-02

MINUTES – Continued:

7. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend oversized public sewer in Farm Road to the western edge of this development to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. All required public sewer easements, if any, necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits.
8. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site. Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – Z-0010-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0009-02 AND Z-0010-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0010-02(1) - SILVER STATE HOLDING COMPANY, ET AL** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 120-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 15.29 acres located adjacent to the northwest corner of Farm Road and Fort Apache Road (APN: 125-18-601-009 through 011), U (Undeveloped) Zone [T-C (Town Center) General Plan Designation] and U (Undeveloped) under Resolution of Intent to TC (Town Center) [PROPOSED: T-C (Town Center)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE of Tetra Tech Engineering represented the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 120 – Z-0010-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 118 [U-0009-02], Item 119 [Z-0010-02] and Item 120 [Z-0010-02(1)] was held under Item 118 [U-0009-02].

(3:12 – 3:14)

5-170

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning [Z-0010-02] to a TC (Towncenter) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The standards for this development shall include the following: maximum density of 7.80 Dwelling Units per Gross Acre, minimum distance between buildings of 10 feet, and building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet to the front of the house, 5 feet on the side, and 15 feet in the rear.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 120 – Z-0010-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
10. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
13. Detailed site and landscaping plans shall be approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, that depict the landscaping and hardscaped areas within the Trail Alignments and the Amenity Zones as shown in the Town Center Development Standards. The landscape plan shall detail plant types, sizes, and locations as required by the Town Center Development Standards. Onsite trees shall conform to the landscaping standards of Town Center. Palm trees shall be at least 25 feet in height. All other trees shall be 18 feet in height. The landscape plan shall include sufficient information to confirm conformance with spacing requirements.
14. A detailed plan depicting sidewalk construction shall be approved by Planning and Development Department staff prior to the time application is made for a building permit, that complies with the Town Center Development Standards.
15. The Tentative Map shall depict the required Multi-Use Trail along the Fort Apache Road and the required Town Center Arterial Trail along the north side of Farm Road in accordance with Map Six of the Trails Element of the Master Plan. The trail shall be constructed concurrent with development of this site and be maintained by the Homeowner's Association.
16. The Tentative Map shall depict the required median within the Fort Apache Road right-of-way.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 120 – Z-0010-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

17. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed street layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first.
18. The final layout of this site shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.
19. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-10-02 and Use Permit U-09-02 on this same agenda, and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0092-01 - EPISCOPAL DIOCESE OF NEVADA -
Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-3 (Medium Density Residential) of 2.99 acres at 832 North Eastern Avenue (APN: 139-25-301-001), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

17
4

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from the Episcopal Diocese of Nevada dated 4/16/2002
5. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Betty-Jeanne Cousins, Golden Rule, Inc. dated 4/16/2002
6. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Colleen Lewis addressed to the Episcopal Church Diocese
7. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Colleen Lewis addressed to Davis Nursery
8. Submitted at meeting: Inspection Documentation submitted by Vanda Davis (45)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

WADE SIMPSON of Welles Pugsley Architects, 2480 E. Hunkins and the VENERABLE RICHARD HENRY of the Episcopal Diocese represented this application. MR. SIMPSON concurred with all of staff's recommendations with the exception of Condition No. 1 of the Site Development Plan Review. He asked that the Davis Nursery, having been a good tenant for the past eleven years, be allowed to remain until the end of the year. To validate this request, MR. SIMPSON submitted a letter from the Episcopal Diocese of Nevada. MR. SIMPSON also read a

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – Z-0092-01

MINUTES – Continued:

letter from BETTY-JEANNE COUSINS of the Golden Rule, Inc. regarding seven apartments located on the property.

COUNCILMAN REESE interjected by stating that in his meeting with MS. COUSINS, the apartments were never mentioned. He did say however, that in his telephone conversation with her, she replied that the apartments would be gone. COUNCILMAN REESE proposed that until the issue of the apartments is settled he would not go forward with this item. It disturbed him that having been told that the apartments would be removed, this had not happened.

MS. COUSINS, 2415 Doherty Way, approached and tried to provide a response to COUNCILMAN REESE'S inquiry pertaining to the apartments. She stated that when she presented him with the booklet, she believed that the renderings were in there with pictures showing the structures. She also justified her comments by saying that although she was a member of the Board, she still had to take it to the rest of the members.

Residents of the neighborhood appeared. ANNETTE HOSSELL, 2612 Oley, stated that they are not opposed to the development of the Child Care Center. MAYOR GOODMAN tried to clarify COUNCILMAN REESE'S intention to hold this application until he can meet with the applicant to settle some of his concerns. MS. HOSSELL replied that although the neighborhood feels that this project will enhance the community, they strongly oppose any extension to Davis Nursery because they feel that the problems their neighborhood has with scorpions is a result of the nursery bringing in their plants from out-of-state. COLLEEN LEWIS, speaking on behalf of her mother, HANNAH ERVIG, also voiced her opposition to the extension of time for the Davis Nursery. For the record, she submitted two letters; one addressed to the Diocese and one addressed to Davis Nursery.

MS. COUSINS stated that she called the Board and informed them of COUNCILMAN REESE'S opposition to the apartments and they agreed to have them removed to expedite the application and be voted on today.

VANDA DAVIS, 2701 E. Bonanza Road, stated that eleven years ago, they took over this vacant lot wherein they made numerous improvements and cleanups due to the amount of debris and trash dumped on that property. Addressing the topic of scorpions, MS. DAVIS was explicit in explaining that whenever land is leveled, there will be an influx of scorpions. She emphasized that they are not attracted to water but instead they get their nourishment from the body fluids of insects that they sting and paralyze. To provide credence to her statements, MS. DAVIS enumerated several developments that have sprouted up over the years including several schools, the Metro Training Station, a new Fire station, and a new Boys Club.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – Z-0092-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. DAVIS further provided documentation that verified mandatory inspection and spraying as required by the Departments of Agriculture in New Mexico, Texas, Nevada, California, Florida and Arizona. GARY DAVIS appeared and stated that all of his nursery products are regularly inspected and sprayed as described by his mother. He conveyed that the additional time is needed to help with the transition of moving to another location and he stated that that was really a matter of economics. MR. DAVIS asked for a minimum of one year.

RICHARD HENRY, Episcopal Diocese of Nevada, spoke on behalf of the Church and conveyed that the Bishop had agreed to a timeframe of December of this year to allow Davis Nursery adequate time to proceed with their move.

COLLEEN LEWIS stated that many of the homes in the vicinity are infested by scorpions and although she acknowledges that the nursery plants have had routine spraying, she was persistent in declaring that she believes the scorpions came from the nursery trees. MS. LEWIS' main concern was the extension of time and she expressed that ninety days as determined by the Planning Commission was adequate.

HANNAH ERVIG appeared and voiced her opposition to any extension of time to Davis Nursery.

MR. SIMPSON wanted the record to reflect that the original use permit stated that if a complaint were received regarding the operation, then the Board could conduct a review and approval could be rescinded. It did not indicate an automatic banishment.

COUNCILMAN REESE commented that he understood the feelings of the neighbors but likewise he also felt it appropriate to allow the Davis Nursery to remain on the property for an additional year.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 122 [Z-0092-01(1)] for related discussion.

(3:14 – 3:38)

5-234

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – Z-0092-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
3. There shall be no rescue mission or homeless shelter conducted on this site.

Public Works

4. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
5. Provide proof of legal easements for the existing sewer connecting this site to Willoughby Avenue. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – Z-0092-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site. Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0092-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0092-01(1) - EPISCOPAL DIOCESE OF NEVADA** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the amount of Required Parking Lot Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 3,948 SQUARE FOOT DAYCARE/PRESCHOOL FACILITY; A 14,000 SQUARE FOOT RECREATIONAL FACILITY WITH 7 APARTMENTS; AND A 5,594 SQUARE FOOT CHURCH BUILDING on 2.99 acres at 832 North Eastern Avenue (APN: 139-25-301-001) R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: R-3 (Medium Density Residential)], Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
 City Council Meeting

17
4

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
 City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from the Episcopal Diocese of Nevada dated 4/16/2002
5. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Betty-Jeanne Cousins, Golden Rule, Inc. dated 4/16/2002
6. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Colleen Lewis addressed to the Episcopal Church Diocese
7. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Colleen Lewis addressed to Davis Nursery
8. Submitted at meeting: Inspection Documentation submitted by Vanda Davis (45)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions, and adding the following condition:

- *The seven proposed apartments shall be deleted from this Site Plan Development review request.*

and amending Condition No. 1 as follows:

1. The existing nursery on this site shall be removed within *one (1) year* of City Council approval of this request.

- UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 122 – Z-0092-01(1)

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT asked if MS. DAVIS would allow staff to make copies of the documentation that she presented.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 121 [Z-0092-01] for related discussion.

(3:14 – 3:38)

5-234

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The existing nursery on this site shall be removed within 90 days of City Council approval of this request.
2. A Rezoning (Z-0092-01) to an R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
6. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 122 – Z-0092-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a and shall also receive approval from the Nevada Department of Transportation.
13. The proposed gate on the access drive on Eastern Avenue shall be setback a sufficient distance (a minimum of 18 feet) to allow the largest vehicle that will be accessing this site to pull completely out of the public right-of-way before stopping to access the gated entry system. The installation of either swing gates or rolling gates is acceptable as long as no portion of the gates either in the closed or open position intrudes within the public right-of-way.
14. Site development to comply with all previous conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0092-01 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0007-02 - A & A MOUNTANOS 1999 REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-CL (Single Family Compact-Lot) of 2.50 acres adjacent to the south side of Gowan Road, approximately 660 feet east of Durango Drive (APN: 138-09-301-003), PROPOSED USE: 16-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD PREZING, 6320 Mcleod, Suite 1, represented the developers of this project. He explained that this is an infill piece and conforms to the master plan. This is the final piece that will be assembled into a two and a half acre parcel. On the tentative map he pointed out the parcels that have been combined to assemble this subdivision.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked if the developers have met with the neighbors. MR. PREZING answered that for the record, there have been no protests from the community.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 123 – Z-0007-02

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN wanted to be assured that the applicant reviewed staff's conditions. MR. PREZING replied that he had read the conditions and was in concurrence. COUNCILMAN BROWN also mentioned that because most of the surrounding areas are R-CL, he feels it is all right to allow the landscape and open space waivers.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:38 – 3:43)

5-1011

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. Setbacks for the proposed residential development shall conform to the standards for the R-CL (Single Family Compact-Lot) Zoning District in effect at the time of approval for the subdivision Final Map on this site.
3. The applicant shall provide a minimum six-foot wide landscape planter adjacent to the entire Gowan Road frontage. The planter shall provide one 24-inch box tree spaced every 30 feet on center as required by the Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.

Public Works

4. Provide a plan indicating how the existing 20' sewer line and easement along the east portion of this site shall be incorporated into this development. Such plan shall accommodate the existing 20' sewer line and easement along the east portion of this site or accommodate for the relocation and abandonment of such sewer line. Any such relocation and abandonment shall be approved by the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any permits.
5. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Gowan Road.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 123 – Z-0007-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Gowan Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site. Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 123 – Z-0007-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0008-02 - SPRING MOUNTAIN RANCH, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF RICHMOND AMERICAN HOMES - Request for a Rezoning FROM: C-2 (General Commercial) and R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) and R-PD12 (Residential Planned Development - 12 Units Per Acre) TO: R-PD10 (Residential Planned Development – 10 Units Per Acre) of approximately 16.52 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Frontage Road and Ackerman Avenue (APN: 125-08-310-003 and 125-08-410-001), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

126

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Petition with 126 resident signatures

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, represented the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated for the record that he has received a petition. Although the residents are not opposed to this project, they are concerned about the traffic that will be generated if Ackerman Avenue is utilized as a through street.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 124 – Z-0008-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 125 [Z-0008-02(1)] for additional discussion.
(3:43 – 3:45)
5-1188

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate an additional 10 feet of right-of-way on Racel Street/Fort Apache Road adjacent to this site for a total of half-street width of 40 feet. Also dedicate an additional 10 feet on Sky Pointe Drive (aka Frontage Road) adjacent to this site for a total width of 80 feet in accordance with the adopted Master Plan of Streets and Highways. Alternatively, the applicant may apply for an amendment to the Master Plan of Streets and Highways for Sky Pointe Drive to reduce the required right-of-way widths adjacent to this site; if this alternative is selected, final right-of-way requirements for Sky Pointe Drive shall be determined at the time of approval of the amendment to the Master Plan of Streets and Highways.
4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Fort Apache Road/ Racel Street, and Ackerman Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Also, construct full width street improvements on Sky Pointe Drive (aka Frontage Road) adjacent to this site, including appropriate street improvements on the west side of the street (across from this site) in accordance with the direction of the City Engineer. Roadway improvements on Fort Apache Road/ Racel Street shall be designed and constructed to match existing improvements to the east. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 124 – Z-0008-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
6. A Master Streetlight Plan for this subdivision must be approved prior to the submittal of any improvement plans.
7. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed private access drive, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated access drives shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
8. An update to the Spring Mountain Ranch Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 124 – Z-0008-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
10. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
11. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
12. The final layout of this subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of a Tentative Map.
13. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located within the public rights-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.
14. Landscape all unimproved right-of-way within the public rights-of-way adjacent to this site; such landscaping shall be maintained by the Home Owner's Association for this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0008-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0008-02(1) - SPRING MOUNTAIN RANCH, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF RICHMOND AMERICAN HOMES - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 158-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on approximately 16.52 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of the U.S.-95 Frontage Road and Ackerman Avenue (APN: 125-08-310-003 and 125-08-410-001), R-PD12 (Residential Planned Development – 12 Units Per Acre) and C-2 (General Commercial) Zone and R-E (Residence Estates) Zones under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) [PROPOSED: R-PD10 (Residential Planned Development - 10 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

126
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

COUNCILMAN MACK assured the residents that staff is working diligently to resolve all the issues pertaining to the traffic on Ackerman Avenue.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 125 – Z-0008-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: See Item 124 [Z-0008-02] for additional discussion.

(3:43 – 3:45)

5-1188

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning [Z-0008-02] to an R-PD10 (Residential Planned Development - 10 Units Per Acre) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The standards for this development shall include the following: maximum density of 10.49 Dwelling Units per Gross Acre, minimum distance between buildings of 10 feet, and building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 5 feet to the front of the house, 5 feet on the side, and 5 feet in the rear.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
10. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 125 – Z-0008-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed private access streets, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated access streets shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
14. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-8-02 and all other site-related actions.
15. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0013-02 - 11TH STREET LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, ET AL ON BEHALF OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM CENTER OF NEVADA - Request for a Rezoning FROM: C-2 (General Commercial), R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential), R-3 (Medium Density Residential) and R-4 (High Density Residential) TO: R-4 (High Density Residential) Zone of approximately 2.23 acres located adjacent to the north side of Stewart Avenue, between Eleventh Street and Maryland Parkway (APN: 139-35-211-042 through 049, 069 and 070), PROPOSED USE: SENIOR HOUSING APARTMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at the meeting: Acknowledged letters (3) regarding Vacation dated 4/12/2002
5. Submitted at the meeting: Authorization Form from the Housing Authority of City of Las Vegas

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending the following conditions:

4. **Petition of Vacation, VAC-0014-02** must receive City Council approval prior to the issuance of any grading *or block wall* permits for this site, and shall record prior to the issuance of any building permits for this site. *Foundation permits may be allowed prior to the recordation of the Vacation, if allowed by the Director for the Department of Building and Safety.*
5. **Construct all incomplete half-street improvements (sidewalk) on 11th Street and remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.**

– **UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN excused and L. B. McDONALD not voting

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 126 – Z-0013-02

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SHARON BULLOCK, 2009 Alta Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant. Having read the conditions on all three items, she requested Condition No. 4 on Item 126 be modified to add permission to pull a block wall permit and foundation permit prior to the recording of the Vacation. On Condition No. 5 of the same item, the Planning Commission deleted “Stewart Avenue” from the condition. MS. BULLOCK provided a copy of that action for verification.

Regarding Item 127, MS. BULLOCK clarified that the three-story senior apartment complex will consist of 100 units and not as listed on the agenda. Additionally, she requested approval of the recorded cross parking agreement with the neighboring landowner adjacent to the north prior to the issuance of any building permits. Regarding Condition No. 17, she provided affidavits that reflected recorded joint access agreements with the adjoining parcel owners.

Moving on to Item 128, the Vacation, and referencing Condition No. 1, she clarified that 11th Street Limited Partnership does not own the northwest and northeast corners of Stewart and 11th Street and she asked for that verbiage to be deleted. MS. BULLOCK stated that she has letters from the adjacent property owners agreeing to the terms of Condition No. 2. She mentioned that the 11th Street Limited Partnership is requesting approval, once all the utility letters are received and approved, to pull grading, foundation and block wall permits prior to the recordation of the Vacation. She asked that the Vacation be red-dotted to alleviate any delay of the recordation that could hinder the development of the senior apartment development.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if staff had any reservations regarding the changes requested by MS. BULLOCK. Addressing each item separately, CHERI EDELMAN agreed to or modified changes maintaining department policy, and then read them into the record.

DAVID GATCH, represented the TIA Club. He explained that on the map, there is a C-1 designation which is the TIA Club. With the vacation, a number of parking spots have been eliminated. A sign that provides support to the TIA Club also generates approximately \$1600 per month and he was concerned that removal of that sign would affect the support it has generated.

DARRYL STANHOSE, 329 North 11th Street, stated that the notice he received was unclear as to what was to be vacated on the west side of Aladdin and the cul-de-sac. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE asked staff to clarify the area to be vacated. CHERI EDELMAN explained that a cul-de-sac would be put in; however, when it is vacated it will be attached back as private property to the Club so the Club would not actually lose any parking.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 126 – Z-0013-02

MINUTES – Continued:

JOE RYCHIC, 516 North 11th Street, explained that the 300 block of North 11th Street was a mess with dilapidated homes and horrific yards. He stated that Frank Hawkins took over and now wants to build a viable three-story building, which he fully supports.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY affirmed that the City would still be responsible for maintaining that alley at the current time. MS. EDELMAN stated that because the developer intends to vacate the entire alley at which time it would revert back to private property, each of the individual property owners must submit a plan as to how they intend to keep that alley open. If everyone agrees to keep it as a private access drive and everyone agrees to maintain that, the City would have not problems with accepting that. MS. BULLOCK confirmed that she spoke to each of the property owners and they in turn each acknowledged by signature the letter of Vacation of Abandonment.

ANNA GIRON, 202 Maryland Parkway, was concerned about the alley access. MS. BULLOCK answered stating that she had met with LORENZO GONZALES and explained that at the end of his property there will still be complete access to the rear of MS. GIRON'S property and to all of the other property owners who are currently there.

On Item 128, MS. EDELMAN clarified the modifications made on Condition No. 1. She stated that staff agreed to the deletion of the wording because they do not expect the developer to dedicate anything that they do not own; however, in relation to the portion of 11th Street that the City owns, staff would like to retain that part of the radii corners. MS. BULLOCK concurred.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 126 [Z-0013-02], Item 127 [Z-0013-02(1)] and Item 128 [VAC-0014-02] was held under Item 126 [Z-0013-02].

(3:45 – 4:01)

5-1280

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 126 – Z-0013-02

MINUTES – Continued:

2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Coordinate with the City Surveyor to determine if a Reversionary Map or other mechanism to join these parcels will be necessary prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the City Surveyor.
4. Petition of Vacation, VAC-0014-02 must receive City Council approval prior to the issuance of any grading permits for this site, and shall record prior to the issuance of any building permits for this site.
5. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements (sidewalk) on 11th Street and Stewart Avenue and remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 126 – Z-0013-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0013-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0013-02(1) - 11TH STREET LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, ET AL ON BEHALF OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM CENTER OF NEVADA - Request for a Site Development Plan Review And A Reduction in the Amount of Required Perimeter and Parking Lot Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 120-UNIT, 3-STORY SENIOR APARTMENT COMPLEX AND A 24,640 SQUARE FOOT HOUSING AUTHORITY OFFICE BUILDING located adjacent to the north side of Stewart Avenue, between Eleventh Street and Maryland Parkway (APN: 139-35-211-042 through 049, 069 and 070), C-2 (General Commercial), R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential), R-3 (Medium Density Residential), and R-4 (High Density Residential), [PROPOSED: R-4 (High Density Residential)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****1****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at the meeting: Acknowledged letters (3) regarding Vacation dated 4/12/2002
5. Submitted at the meeting: Authorization Form from the Housing Authority of City of Las Vegas

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following condition:

- *The proposed number of units shall be reduced from 120 dwelling units to 100 dwelling units.*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SHARON BULLOCK, 2009 Alta Drive, represented this application.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 127 – Z-0013-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 126 [Z-0013-02], Item 127 [Z-0013-02(1)] and Item 128 [VAC-0014-02] was held under Item 126 [Z-0013-02].

(3:45 – 4:01)

5-1280

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. A Rezoning (Z-0013-02) to an R-4 (High Density Residential) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
3. The applicant shall submit for and have approved by the Planning Commission, prior to the issuance of any building permits, except for site grading, a Variance to allow a three-story, 36-foot tall building; where a two-story, 35-foot tall building is allowed.
4. The applicant shall either scale down the project in scope to meet the required parking, have an acceptable means of off-site parking established, or obtain approval of a variance for parking, prior to the issuance of any building permits.
5. The landscape plan shall be amended and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to indicate all proposed landscape plant material on the site complete with size and type.
6. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters.
7. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 127 – Z-0013-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
9. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
10. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
11. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
12. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
13. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
14. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
15. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 127 – Z-0013-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

17. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access Agreement between this site and the adjoining parcel to the north prior to the issuance of any permits.
18. Proposed entry gates shall be set back a sufficient distance (a minimum of 18 feet) to allow a vehicle to pull completely out of the public street right-of-way before parking to manually operate the gate. The installation of either swing gates or rolling gates are acceptable as long as no part of the gates, either in the opened or closed position, intrude into the public right-of-way.
19. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0013-02 on this same agenda; and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION RELATED TO Z-0013-02 AND Z-0013-02(1) - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-0014-02 - 11TH STREET LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, ET AL** - Petition to Vacate a portion of Eleventh Street, Marlin Avenue and a Public Alleyway, generally located north of Stewart Avenue, between Eleventh Street and Maryland Parkway, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1
0

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1
1

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at the meeting: Acknowledged letters (3) regarding Vacation dated 4/12/2002
5. Submitted at the meeting: Authorization Form from the Housing Authority of City of Las Vegas

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and Amending Condition No. 1 as follows:

1. This Petition of Vacation shall be modified to retain any right-of-way necessary to complete the cul-de-sac at the terminating end of 11th Street. This petition shall also be amended to retain a 20-foot public sewer easement in the alley way.

– **UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN excused and L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SHARON BULLOCK, 2009 Alta Drive, represented this application.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 128 – VAC-0014-02

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 126 [Z-0013-02], Item 127 [Z-0013-02(1)] and Item 128 [VAC-0014-02] was held under Item 126 [Z-0013-02].

(3:45 – 4:01)

5-1280

CONDITIONS:

1. This Petition of Vacation shall be modified to retain any right-of-way necessary to complete the cul-de-sac at the terminating end of 11th Street and to retain and/or dedicate right-of-way necessary to create 20 foot radii at the northwest and northeast corners of Stewart Avenue and 11th Street. This petition shall also be amended to retain a 20 foot public sewer easement in the alley way.
2. Provide a plan for approval by the Department of Public Works indicating how access to the existing gate along the rear property line of parcel 139-35-211-068 will continue to be provided. Private access or a letter from the adjacent property owner agreeing to the elimination of such access must be provided prior to recordation of an Order of Vacation for this site.
3. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. The Drainage Study required by Z-0013-02 may be used to satisfy this condition.
4. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
5. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
6. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 128 – VAC-0014-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #4 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
8. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING -
GPA-0059-01 - TELOS ENTERPRISES, INCORPORATED, ET AL - Request to Amend
 a portion of the Southwest Sector Plan of the General Plan FROM: M (Medium Density
 Residential) and SC (Service Commercial) TO: GC (General Commercial) on 4.24 acres
 adjacent to the east side of Fairhaven Street, approximately 300 feet north of Vegas Drive
 (APN: 138-24-804-005, 006, 015, 017, and 018), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission
 (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED – Amending the application *FROM M (Medium Density Residential) TO SC (Service Commercial) on approximately 1.01 acres adjacent to the east side of Fairhaven Street, approximately 300 feet north of Vegas Drive (APN: 138-24-804-005 and 006)*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and L. B. McDONALD not voting

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother, Steven Mack, owns the Super Pawn that is located near this application and because he believes there would be no impact on any of his brother's businesses, he would be voting on Items 129, 130, 131 and 132.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

GARY LEE, 7390 West Sahara Avenue, represented the applicant. He explained that the Planning Commission was in favor of this application. He stated that he had purchased surrounding parcels, not for the purpose of expansion of the storage or the automotive facility but

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 129 – GPA-0059-01

MINUTES – Continued:

to have covered parking. In a C-1 district, covered parking is allowed in conjunction with warehouses. It was staff's recommendation to rezone to C-2 although his preference was to remain C-1. When he acquired this property he made several improvements, ensuring there would be no ingress or egress onto Fairhaven to create any additional traffic and providing an emergency crash gate at one end of the property. He differed with staff regarding the landscaping and setbacks. He emphasized to Council that he wants to keep the C-1 and with the designation can continue the development.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, voiced his support of this project and felt that staff should consider the applicant's desire to remain C-1.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commended MR. LEE on the work that he has so far accomplished and with the assistance of ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department, addressed and motioned each item individually. On Item 130, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that the rezoning would be from UR-E to C-1..

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See related Item 130 [Z-0107-01(1)], Item 131 [V-0101-01] for additional discussion.

(4:01 – 4:16)

5-1900

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0059-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0107-01 - TELOS ENTERPRISES, INCORPORATED, ET AL** - Request for Rezoning FROM: C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, U (Undeveloped) Zone [M (Medium Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, U (Undeveloped) Zone [M (Medium Density Residential) General Plan Designation], and R-E (Residence Estates) Zone TO: C-2 (General Commercial) on 4.24 acres adjacent to the east side of Fairhaven Street, approximately 300 feet north of Vegas Drive (APN's: 138-24-804-005, 006, 015, 017, and 018), PROPOSED USE: AUTO/RV STORAGE FACILITY, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions, Amending the Request for Rezoning *FROM U (Undeveloped) Zone [M (Medium Density Residential) General Plan Designation], and R-E (Residence Estates) Zone TO: C-1 (Service Commercial) on 1.01 acres adjacent to the east side of Fairhaven Street, approximately 300 feet north of Vegas Drive (APN's: 138-24-804-005 and 006) and Amending Condition No. 1 as follows:*

1. The City Council shall approve a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0059-01) from *M (Medium Density Residential) to SC (Service Commercial) land use designation for the subject property (APN: 138-24-804-005 and 138-24-804-006).*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and L. B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 130 – Z-0107-01

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that the rezoning would be amended from U (Undeveloped) R-E (Residence Estates) to C-1 (Service Commercial). He requested that Item 131 [V-0101-01] and Item 132 [Z-0107-01(1)] be tabled.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See related Item 129 [GPA-0059-01] and Item 131 [V-0101-01] for additional discussion.

(4:01 – 4:16)

5-1900

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0059-01) to a GC (General Commercial) land use designation.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Fairhaven Street adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 130 – Z-0107-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
6. Provide a copy of a recorded joint access agreement between this site and the adjacent parcel to the east prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

8. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-0059-01 AND Z-0107-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0101-01 - TELOS ENTERPRISES, INCORPORATED, ET AL** Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A SIX FOOT FRONT SETBACK WHERE TWENTY FEET IS THE MINIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK REQUIRED; TO ALLOW A ZERO FOOT REAR SETBACK WHERE TWENTY FEET IS THE MINIMUM REAR YARD SETBACK REQUIRED; AND TO ALLOW A ZERO FOOT SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE TEN FEET IS THE MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK REQUIRED on 2.0 acres adjacent to the east side of Fairhaven Street, approximately 300 feet north of Vegas Drive (APN: 138-24-804-005, 006, and 017), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, U (Undeveloped) Zone [M (Medium Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, U (Undeveloped) Zone [M (Medium Density Residential) General Plan Designation], and R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, PROPOSED: C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – TABLED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 131 – V-0101-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed staff to develop a Text Amendment for a Special Use Permit to allow outdoor storage in a C-1 zoning district. It is uncertain whether Item Nos. 131 and 132 would be needed depending on what happens with the eventual special use permit. These two items would be brought back at the same time as the Special Use Permit and either be approved or stricken.

The applicant verified that the action taken on Item 131 [V-0101-01] and Item 132 [Z-0107-01(1)] would not affect the development of the property.

NOTE: See related Item 129 [GPA-0059-01] and Item 130 [Z-0107-01(1)] for additional discussion.

(4:01 – 4:16)
5-1900

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0059-01, Z-0107-01 AND V-0101-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0107-01(1) - TELOS ENTERPRISES, INCORPORATED, ET AL** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and Reduction in the Perimeter Landscape Requirements FOR AN AUTOMOBILE/RV STORAGE FACILITY on 2.0 acres adjacent to the east side of Fairhaven Street, approximately 300 feet north of Vegas Drive (APN: 138-24-804-005, 006, and 017), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, U (Undeveloped) Zone [M (Medium Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, U (Undeveloped) Zone [M (Medium Density Residential) General Plan Designation], and R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, PROPOSED: C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1
0

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0
0

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – TABLED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 132 – Z-0107-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed staff to develop a Text Amendment for a Special Use Permit to allow outdoor storage in a C-1 zoning district. It is uncertain whether Item Nos. 131 and 132 would be needed depending on what happens with the eventual special use permit. These two items would be brought back at the same time as the Special Use Permit and either be approved or stricken.

The applicant verified that the action taken on Item 131 [V-0101-01] and Item 132 [Z-0107-01(1)] would not affect the development of the property.

NOTE: See related Item 129 [GPA-0059-01] and Item 130 [Z-0107-01(1)] for additional discussion.

(4:01 – 4:16)

5-1900

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0045-00 - LAS VEGAS MASONIC TEMPLE ASSOCIATION** - Request to Amend a portion of the southeast sector of the General Plan FROM: L (Low Density Residential) TO: SC (Service Commercial) and to PF (Public Facilities) on approximately 14.05 Acres located adjacent to the northeast corner of Rancho Drive and Mesquite Avenue (APN: 139-29-801-005), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends DENIAL of the request for SC (Service Commercial)

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

11
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

23
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends DENIAL for the SC (Service Commercial).

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED and Amending the request *FROM: L (Low Density Residential) TO: O (Office) and to PF (Public Facilities).*

– **UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN excused and REESE abstaining because he has hired MR. GRAY to represent him in the upcoming election.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 133 – GPA-0045-00

MINUTES – Continued:

GARY GRAY, 706 Branken Avenue, appeared on behalf of the applicant and the Masonic Temple Association. He noted that changes that were recommended by staff were reviewed and he concurred with all.

PAUL DIAMOND, 2108 Frontier Avenue, mentioned that this was his first opportunity to attend a meeting relative to this application. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY affirmed that this particular application has entailed approximately 13 months of discussions and attempts to arrive at an amicable solution. MR. DIAMOND, appeared in opposition of the billboard. He attested to billboard lighting that reflects off of the signs or onto oncoming freeway traffic or into residential areas. He stated that the issue is safety.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, individually discussed each item. On Item No. 133, he noted staff's recommendation was to eliminate the Service Commercial portion of the request and replace it with Office use. Because the intention is to put a billboard on this site, it would only be allowed on a CV zoned site if the owner was a government entity. Using an aerial photograph, MR. GENZER pointed out the dividing line between the Office designated area with the balance of the site being the public facility.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked MR. GENZER to indicate the location of the billboard.

Regarding the application for rezoning, staff is amending Condition No. 1 and Condition No. 3 and recommends an additional condition to amend the zoning to C-V (Civic) and O (Office). On the last Item No. 135, staff asked for that item to be stricken and asked Council to do a Text Amendment that would allow off-premise signs and billboard by Special Use Permit on C-V zoned properties operated or controlled by a recognized fraternal, veteran, civic or service organization. Noting for the record, MR. GENZER stated that staff did not recommend religious facilities among the list because it was not deemed appropriate to have a billboard on that type of site.

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, mentioned that he had spoken with representatives of NDOT who at that point were unsure whether a wall would be constructed. MR. GALLEG0 surmises that if NDOT does put up a wall, it will be at least 20 feet high. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY informed MR. GALLEG0 that there is no longer a need to provide a sound wall because all of the homes abutting US95 have been purchased.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 133 – GPA-0045-00

MINUTES – Continued:

TOM McGOWAN, resident of Las Vegas, also spoke of visual distractions. He stated that there is traffic, billboards, and people. Where do your priorities begin?

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, 335 Winston, stated that he is a certified transportation consultant. He believes the billboard issue should be tabled. He agreed with MR. McGOWAN that signs in many areas are over addressed and do not really need to be there.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, maintained that rather than waiting for a reply from NDOT, put up the signs but condition it with a one, two or three-year review.

DANIEL DUGAN, 1801 Granite Avenue, Secretary of the Rancho Manor Neighborhood Association, explained that although there are not many complaints about the billboards, the neighborhood did have questions about the zoning issue. He mentioned that traffic is a concern of the community and the applicants have tried to deal with this by introducing a traffic device that will require cars to slow down.

WILLIAM STOJACK, 1820 West Mesquite, related that although this project has carried on for thirteen months, it has developed into a workable piece and he was mainly concerned about the stability of the neighborhood. Although issues still exist, MR. STOJACK felt that they would be mitigated with the help of the Masonic Lodge.

FRANK BRODY, 120 Shadow Lane, encouraged moving this project forward.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY recalled the initial introduction of this application and how there was quite a bit of opposition. In comparison, since that time, having worked closely with the Masonic Temple, the neighborhood has arrived at many resolutions.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 133 – GPA-0045-00

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed staff to prepare a Text Amendment to allow off-premise signs (billboards) by Special Use Permit on C-V (Civic) zoned properties operated or controlled by a recognized fraternal, veteran, civic or service organization.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 133 [GPA-0045-00], Item 134 [Z-0011-02] and Item 135 [U-0010-02] was held under Item 133 [GPA-0045-00].

(4:16 – 4:46)

5-2569

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0045-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0011-02 - LODGE MASONIC MEMORIAL TEMPLE ON BEHALF OF AD AMERICA** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-1 (Single Family Residential) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) and C-V (Civic) on 14.05 Acres located adjacent to the northeast corner of Rancho Drive and Mesquite Avenue (APN: 139-29-801-005), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends DENIAL of the request for C-1 (Limited Commercial)

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends DENIAL of the request for C-1 (Limited Commercial).

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition Nos. 1 and 3 as follows:

1. Approval of a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0045-00) by the City Council *FROM: L (Low Density Residential) TO O (Office) and PF (Public Facility) land use designations.*
3. Approval of a Special Use Permit and/or a Site Development Plan Review application by the *Planning Commission and the City Council at a public hearing* prior to issuance of any permits, site grading, or other development activity *on this site. Any such Site Development Plan Review shall include a minimum 30-foot wide bermed landscape planter along the east property line.*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 134 – Z-0011-02

MOTION – Continued:

And adding the following condition:

- The C-1 (Limited Commercial) portion of the request shall be amended to O (Office) with the remainder of the site being C-V (Civic) as depicted on the aerial photograph submitted as part of this action.
- UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and REESE abstaining because he has hired MR. GRAY to represent him in the next upcoming election.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 133 [GPA-0045-00], Item 134 [Z-0011-02] and Item 135 [U-0010-02] was held under Item 133 [GPA-0045-00].

(4:16 – 4:46)

5-2569

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0045-00) by the City Council to SC (Service Commercial) and PF (Public Facilities) land use designations.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. Approval of a Special Use Permit and/or a Site Development Plan Review application by the City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Dedicate a 25 foot radius of right-of-way at the north east corner of Rancho Drive and Mesquite Avenue prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. Also, grant a traffic signal chord easement at the back of radius prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 134 – Z-0011-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study may be required upon further development of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-0045-00 AND Z-0011-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0010-02 - LODGE MASONIC MEMORIAL TEMPLE ON BEHALF OF AD AMERICA - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A 55-FOOT HIGH, 14-FOOT X 48-FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN on 3.0 Acres located adjacent to the northeast corner of Rancho Drive and Mesquite Avenue (APN: 139-29-801-005), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after the Final Agenda: Letters of Protest (3)

MOTION:

WEEKLY – TABLED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and REESE abstaining because he has hired MR. GRAY to represent him in the next upcoming election.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed staff to prepare a Text Amendment to allow off-premise signs (billboards) by Special Use Permit on C-V (Civic) zoned properties operated or controlled by a recognized fraternal, veteran, civic or service organization.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 135 – U-0010-02

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 133 [GPA-0045-00], Item 134 [Z-0011-02] and Item 135 [U-0010-02] was held under Item 133 [GPA-0045-00].

(4:16 – 4:46)

5-2569

CONDITIONS:

1. The off-premise advertising sign (billboard) supporting structure shall be redesigned to finish materials to complement the existing on-site building. The entire face-area of both sides of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign shall be signage area or its border framework; none of the supporting structure shall be visible aside from the support pole.
2. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
4. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
5. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 135 – U-0010-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. Prior to the completed construction of the proposed billboard, the applicant shall construct or have constructed a traffic calming feature to be submitted to and approved by the City Traffic Engineer on Mesquite Avenue near the eastern edge of the subject property at no cost to the City of Las Vegas.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

MSP-0001-02, MSP-0002-02, U-0261-94(2), U-0300-94(2), U-0314-94(2), U-0315-94(2), U-0106-95(2), U-0149-99(1), U-0152-99(1), U-0153-99(1), U-0154-99(1), U-0155-99(1), U-0156-99(1), U-0006-02, U-0012-02, U-0014-02, U-0015-02, U-0024-02, V-0155-96(1), V-0098-01, VAC-0015-02, VAC-0016-02, VAC-0017-02, VAC-0018-02, VAC-0019-02 – 5/1/2002
AGENDA

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE **CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: APRIL 17, 2002**

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

CHRIS CHRISTOFF, 335 Cincinnati Avenue, presented a map that reflected a neighborhood located between Las Vegas Boulevard and Industrial Road. He pointed out colored sections of the map that he stated represented large buildings, highly secured and gated to keep Metropolitan Police officials from entering. He stated that a large number of people, including illegal aliens and gangs congregate within those buildings. He, having lived in the area for a long while, has noticed such activities as “turf wars”, tourists being approached by undesirables, and the selling of chemical substances.

(4:46 – 4:53)

6-346

TRUDI PLATZER, 4795 Wynn Road, Vice President of the Las Vegas Railroad Society, thanked the City Council and Mayor for their continued support. She explained that site plans are being drawn up for a future 40-acre train park and her organization is excited about working with the City on this new venture.

4:53 – 4:54)

6-531

TOM CARPINITTO from Sedona, Arizona, spoke of his attempts to work with people in an effort to eradicate sexual lust and sexual immorality apparent within the City. He described how his own personal life was affected by weakness and the evils of the world and as a testimony of past experiences he has begun a mission to help others rid our City of these immoral ways.

(4:54 – 4:58)

6-567

TOM McGOWAN, resident of Las Vegas, submitted a three-page handwritten script that covered such topics as the impact of the Stratosphere Tower on the neighboring residents, the decline of the quality of life for residents who live in Downtown Las Vegas, public transportation, and the eventual relocation of the Metro facility.

(4:58 – 5:03)

6-710

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF APRIL 17, 2002
Citizens Participation

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, spoke of pollution in the Valley. He noted that there is a pending suit against the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to force them to uphold their own standards. He also mentioned a proposed ordinance to require people to cover bare-dirt yards. He also mentioned the prospect of the City going into the power business and suggested even the Water District looking at the prospect of buying power on the wholesale market.

(5:03 – 5:05)

6-860

THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 5:05 P.M.